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Abstract—Let $G$ be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. The Nano-Zagreb and multiplicative Nano-Zagreb indices of $G$ are $\Delta^2 Z(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)}(d^2(v) - d(v))$ and $\Delta^2Z(G) = \prod_{v \in V(G)}(d^2(v) - d(v))$, respectively, where $d(v)$ is the degree of the vertex $v$. In this paper, we define two types of Zagreb indices based on degrees of vertices. Also the Nano-Zagreb index and multiplicative Nano-Zagreb index of the Cartesian product, symmetric difference, composition and disjunction of graphs are computed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

THROUGHOUT this paper, all graphs are simple. Let $G$ be a (molecular) graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G)$. Denote by $uv$ the edge of $G$, connecting the vertices $u$ and $v$. For any vertex $u$ of $G$, the degree of $u$ is denoted by $d(u)$. We consider only simple connected graphs, i.e. connected graphs without loops and multiple edges. Suppose $\Sigma$ denotes the class of all graphs, then a function $\Lambda: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is called a topological index if $G \equiv H$ implies $\Lambda(G) = \Lambda(H)$. Usage of topological indices in chemistry began in 1947 when chemist Harold Wiener developed the most widely known topological descriptor, the Wiener index, and used it to determine physical properties of types of alkanes known as paraffin. The Cartesian product $G_1 \times G_2$ of graphs $G_1$ and $G_2$ has the vertex set $V(G_1 \times G_2) = V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$ and $(a, x)(b, y)$ is an edge of $G_1 \times G_2$ if $a = b$ and $xy \in E(G_1)$, or $ab \in E(G_1)$ and $x = y$. If $(a, x)$ is a vertex of $G_1 \times G_2$, then

$$d_{G_1 \times G_2}((a, x)) = d_{G_1}(a) + d_{G_2}(x).$$

The tensor product $G_1 \otimes G_2$ is defined as the graph obtained from $G_1$ and $G_2$ by taking one copy of $G_1$ and $[V(G_1)]$ copies of $G_2$ and then by joining with an edge each vertex of the $i$th copy of $G_2$ which is named $(G_2, i)$ with the $i$th vertex of $G_1$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, |V(G_1)|$. If $u$ is a vertex of $G_1 \circ G_2$, then

$$d_{G_1 \circ G_2}(u) = \begin{cases} d_{G_1}(u) + |V(G_2)| & \text{if } u \in V(G_1) \\ d_{G_2}(u) + 1 & \text{if } u \in (G_2, i). \end{cases}$$

The tensor product $G_1 \otimes G_2$ of two graphs $G_1$ and $G_2$ is the graph with vertex set $V(G_1 \times G_2)$ and $E(G_1 \otimes G_2) = \{(u_1, u_2)|(v_1, v_2) | u_1v_1 \in E(G_1), u_2v_2 \in E(G_2)\}$.

The tensor product $G_1 \otimes G_2$ of graphs $G_1$ and $G_2$ is the graph with vertex set $V(G_1 \times G_2)$ and $E(G_1 \otimes G_2) = \{(u_1, u_2)|(v_1, v_2) | u_1v_1 \in E(G_1), u_2v_2 \in E(G_2)\}$.

The disjunction $G_1 \vee G_2$ is the graph with vertex set $V(G_1 \cup G_2)$ in which $(u, v), (x, y) \in G_1 \times G_2$ are adjacent whenever $u$ is adjacent with $x$ in $G_1$ or $v$ is adjacent with $y$ in $G_2$. If $|V(G_1)| = n_1, |E(G_1)| = m_1, |V(G_2)| = n_2, |E(G_2)| = m_2$, the degree of a vertex $(u, v)$ of $G_1 \vee G_2$ is given by

$$d_{G_1 \vee G_2}(u, v) = n_2d_{G_1}(u) + n_1d_{G_2}(v) - d_{G_1}(u)d_{G_2}(v).$$

The symmetric difference $G_1 \oplus G_2$ of two graphs $G_1$ and $G_2$ is the graph with vertex set $V(G_1 \times G_2)$ in which $(u, v), (x, y) \in G_1 \times G_2$ are adjacent if $u$ is adjacent with $x$ in $G$ or $v$ is adjacent with $y$ in $G_2$. It follows from the definition that the degree of a vertex $(u, v)$ of $G_1 \oplus G_2$ is given by

$$d_{G_1 \oplus G_2}(u, v) = n_2d_{G_1}(u) + n_1d_{G_2}(v) - 2d_{G_1}(u)d_{G_2}(v).$$

The join $G = G_1 + G_2$ of graphs $G_1$ and $G_2$ with disjoint vertex sets $V_1$ and $V_2$ and edge sets $E_1$ and $E_2$ is the graph union $G_1 \cup G_2$ together with all the edges joining $V_1$ and $V_2$. The composition $G = G_1[G_2]$ of graphs $G_1$ and $G_2$ with disjoint vertex sets $V_1$ and $V_2$ such that $|V_1| = n_1, |V_2| = n_2$ and edge sets $E_1$ and $E_2$ such that $|E_1| = m_1$ and $|E_2| = m_2$ is the graph with vertex set $V_1 \times V_2$ and $u = (u_1, u_2)$ is adjacent with $v = (v_1, v_2)$ whenever $u_1$ is adjacent with $v_1$ or $u_1 = v_1$ and $u_2$ is adjacent with $v_2$. It follows from the definition for a vertex $(u_1, u_2)$ of $G_1[G_2]$ is given by

$$d_{G_1[G_2]}(u_1, u_2) = 2d_{G_1}(u_1) + d_{G_2}(u_2).$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some previously known results. In Section 3, we introduce and investigate the Nano-Zagreb index of a graph also the Cartesian product, composition, join and disjunction of graphs are computed. Moreover, we apply some of our results to compute it. In Section 4, we define the multiplicative Nano-Zagreb index of a graph also we give some upper bounds for various graph operations such as corona product, Cartesian product, composition, disjunction. Moreover, computations are conducted for some well-known graphs.
II. Preliminaries and Known Results

In this section, we shall list some previously known results that will be needed in the next sections. In mathematical chemistry, there is a large number of topological indices of the form

\[ TI = TI(G) = \sum_{v_i, v_j \in E(G)} \mathcal{F}(d_i, d_j) \]

and

\[ TI = TI(G) = \prod_{v_i, v_j \in E(G)} \mathcal{F}(d_i, d_j). \]

In 1972, within a study of the structure-dependency of total \( \pi \)-electron energy \( \mathcal{E} \), it was shown that \( \mathcal{E} \) depends on the sum of squares of the vertex degrees of the molecular graph (later named first Zagreb index), and thus provides a measure of the branching of the carbon-atom skeleton. In the same paper, also the sum of cubes of degrees of vertices of the molecular graph was shown to influence \( \mathcal{E} \), but this topological index was never again investigated and was left to oblivion. We now establish a few basic properties of this Nano-Zagreb index and multiplicative Nano-Zagreb index. The Zagreb indices are widely studied degree-based topological indices and were introduced by Gutman and Trinajstić [1] in 1972. In Chemical Science, the physico-chemical properties of chemical compounds are often modeled by means of molecular graph based structure descriptors, which are referred to as topological indices. Recently, Todeschini et al. [2, 3], have proposed the multiplicative variants of ordinary Zagreb indices, which are defined as follows:

\[ 1 = \prod_{u \in V(G)} d_G(u)^2, \]
\[ 2 = \prod_{u \in V(G)} d_G(u)d_G(v). \]

Mathematical properties and applications of multiplicative Zagreb indices are reported in [4, 5, 2, 3]. Mathematical properties and applications of multiplicative sum Zagreb indices are reported in [6].

III. Nano-Zagreb Index of Some Graph Operations

In this section, we define the Nano-Zagreb index of a graph also Nano-Zagreb index of the Cartesian product, composition, symmetric difference and disjunction of graphs are computed. Moreover, we apply some of our results to compute the Nano-Zagreb index.

A topological index is a graph invariant applicable in chemistry. The Wiener index is the first topological index introduced by chemist Harold Wiener [7, 8, 9, 10]. There are some topological indices based on degrees such as the first and second Zagreb indices of molecular graphs. There are some topological indices [11, 7] based on degrees such as: the first \( M_1 \), the second \( M_2 \) and third Zagreb index \( M_3 \) defined as respectively

\[ M_1(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_G(u)^2, \quad M_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_G(u)d_G(v)), \quad M_3(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left| d_G(u) - d_G(v) \right|. \]

We now define a new graph invariant, named the Nano-Zagreb index. This new graph invariant is denoted by \( \mathcal{N}Z(G) \) and defined as follows: The Nano-Zagreb index of a graph \( G \) is defined as

\[ \mathcal{N}Z(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_G^2(u) - d_G^2(v)). \]

Throughout this paper, \( d_G(u) \geq d_G(v) \). Recently, there was a vast research on comparing Zagreb indices see [12, 13, 14]. A survey on the first Zagreb index can be seen in [15]. Usage of topological indices in chemistry began in 1947 when chemist Harold Wiener developed the most widely known topological descriptor, the Wiener index, and used it to determine physical properties of types of alkanes known as paraffin. We begin this section with Propositions as follows:

Proposition 3.1: Let \( G \) be a regular graph. Then \( \mathcal{N}Z(G) = 0. \)

Therefore, by Proposition 3.1, we have the following propositions.

Proposition 3.2: Let \( C_n \) be a cycle with \( n \geq 3 \) vertices. Then \( \mathcal{N}Z(C_n) = 0. \)

Proposition 3.3: Let \( K_n \) be a complete graph with \( n \) vertices. Then \( \mathcal{N}Z(K_n) = 0. \)

Proposition 3.4: Let \( K_{n,n} \) be a complete bipartite graph with \( 2n \) vertices. Then \( \mathcal{N}Z(K_{n,n}) = 0. \)

Now, we compute the Nano-Zagreb index for a complete bipartite graph.

Proposition 3.5: Let \( K_{n,m} \) be a complete bipartite graph with \( 1 < m < n \) vertices. Then \( \mathcal{N}Z(K_{n,m}) = mn(m^2 - n^2) \).

Proof: Let \( K_{n,m} \) be a complete bipartite graph with \( 1 < m < n \) vertices and \( mn \) edges. Consider

\[ \mathcal{N}Z(K_{n,m}) = \sum_{uv \in E(K_{n,m})} \left( d_G^2(u) - d_G^2(v) \right) \]
\[ = \left( m^2 - n^2 \right) + \left( m^2 - n^2 \right) + \ldots + \left( m^2 - n^2 \right) \]
\[ = mn(m^2 - n^2). \]

Proposition 3.6: Let \( P_n \) be a path with \( n > 3 \) vertices. Then \( \mathcal{N}Z(P_n) = 6. \)

Proof: Let \( P_n \) be a path with \( n > 3 \) vertices. Consider

\[ \mathcal{N}Z(P_n) = \sum_{uv \in E(P_n)} \left( d_G^2(u) - d_G^2(v) \right)^n \]
\[ = 3^2 + 3^2 + 3^2 = 6 \]

Proposition 3.7: Let \( W_n \) be a wheel with \( n > 4 \) vertices. Then

\[ \mathcal{N}Z(W_n) = (n - 1)((n - 1)^2 - 9). \]

Proof: Let \( W_n \) be a wheel with \( n > 4 \) vertices. Consider

\[ \mathcal{N}Z(W_n) = \sum_{uv \in E(W_n)} \left( d_G^2(u) - d_G^2(v) \right) \]
\[ = (3^2 - 3^2) + (3^2 - 3^2) + \ldots + (3^2 - 3^2) \]
\[
(n - 1)^2 - 3^2 + ((n - 1)^2 - 3^2) + \cdots + (n - 1)^2 - 3^2)
= (n - 1)((n - 1)^2 - 3^2).
\]

**Lemma 3.8:** [16] Let \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) be two connected graphs, then we have:

(a) \[ |V(G_1 \times G_2)| = |V(G_1 \vee G_2)| = |V(G_1)| |V(G_2)|, \]
(b) \( G_1 \times G_2 \) is connected if and only if \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) are connected.
(c) If \((a, b)\) is a vertex of \( G_1 \times G_2 \), then \( d_{G_1 \times G_2}((a, b)) = d_{G_1}(a) + d_{G_2}(b) \).
(d) If \((a, b)\) is a vertex of \( G_1[G_2] \) then \( d_{G_1[G_2]}((a, b)) = |V(G_1)| d_{G_2}(b) + d_{G_1}(a) \).
(e) If \((a, b)\) is a vertex of \( G_1 \oplus G_2 \) or \( G_1 \otimes G_2 \), we have:
\[
\begin{align*}
\quad d_{G_1 \oplus G_2}((a, b)) &= |V(G_1)| d_{G_1}(a) + |V(G_1)| d_{G_2}(b)
- 2d_{G_1}(a) d_{G_2}(b), \\
\quad d_{G_1 \otimes G_2}((a, b)) &= |V(G_1)| d_{G_1}(a) + |V(G_1)| d_{G_2}(b)
- d_{G_1}(a) d_{G_2}(b). 
\end{align*}
\]
(f) If \( u \) is a vertex of \( G_1 \vee G_2 \) then we have:
\[
d_{G_1 \vee G_2}(u) = \begin{cases} 
   d_{G_1}(u) + |V(G_2)| & \text{if } u \in V(G_1), \\
   d_{G_2}(u) + |V(G_1)| & \text{if } u \in V(G_2).
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof:** The parts (a) and (b) are consequence of definitions and some famous results of the book of Imrich and Klavzar [16]. For the proof of (c-f) we refer to [17].

**Theorem 3.9:** Let \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) be two graphs with \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) vertices, \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) edges respectively. Then
\[
\mathcal{N}Z(G_1 \times G_2) = n_1 \left( \mathcal{N}Z(G_2) + 2M_3(G_2) \right)
+ n_2 \left( \mathcal{N}Z(G_1) + 2M_3(G_1) \right).
\]

**Proof:** From the definition of the Cartesian product of graphs, we have:
\[
E(G_1 \times G_2) = \{(a,x)(b,y) : ab \in E(G_1), x = y \text{ or } xy \in E(G_2), a = b \}
\]
therefore we can write:
\[
\mathcal{N}Z(G_1 \times G_2)
= \sum_{(a_1,b_1) \in E(G_1 \times G_2)} [d_{G_1 \times G_2}((a_1,x)) - d_{G_1 \times G_2}((b_1,y))]^2
+ \sum_{a \in V(G_1)} \sum_{(x,y) \in E(G_2)} [d_{G_1}(a) + d_{G_2}(x) - d_{G_1}(a) + d_{G_2}(y)]^2
+ \sum_{(a,b) \in E(G_1 \times G_2)} [d_{G_1}(a) + d_{G_2}(x) - d_{G_1}(a) + d_{G_2}(y)]^2
+ \sum_{(a,b) \in E(G_1 \times G_2)} [d_{G_1}(a) + d_{G_2}(x) - d_{G_1}(a) + d_{G_2}(y)]^2.
\]

**Example 3.10:** For any graphs \( P_3 \times P_3 \), \( P_5 \times C_4 \) and \( P_6 \times C_4 \), we have the following results:
1) \( \mathcal{N}Z(P_3 \times P_3) = 12(r+s) \), \( r, s > 3 \),
2) \( \mathcal{N}Z(P_5 \times C_4) = 6q \),
3) \( \mathcal{N}Z(P_6 \times C_4) = 24 \).

**Theorem 3.11:** Let \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) be two graphs with \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) vertices, \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) edges respectively. Then
\[
\mathcal{N}Z(G_1 \oplus G_2) = [2n_1 m_1 M_3(G_2)] + n_1 \mathcal{N}Z(G_2)
+ [2n_2 m_2 M_3(G_1)] + n_2 \mathcal{N}Z(G_1).
\]

**Proof:** From the definition of the composition \( G_1 \oplus G_2 \) we have:
\[
\mathcal{N}Z(G_1 \oplus G_2)
= \sum_{(u,v) \in E(G_1 \oplus G_2)} [d_{G_1 \oplus G_2}(u,v)]^2 - [d_{G_1 \oplus G_2}(u_p, v_q)]^2
= \sum_{u \in V(G_1)} \sum_{v \in V(G_2)} [d_{G_1}(u) n_2 + d_{G_2}(v)]^2
- [d_{G_1}(u) n_2 + d_{G_2}(v)]^2
+ \sum_{(u, v) \in E(G_1 \oplus G_2)} [d_{G_1}(u) n_2 + d_{G_2}(v)]^2
- [d_{G_1}(u) n_2 + d_{G_2}(v)]^2
= \sum_{u \in V(G_1)} \sum_{v \in V(G_2)} [d_{G_1}(u) n_2 + d_{G_2}(v)]^2
+ [d_{G_2}^2(v) - d_{G_2}^2(v)]
+ \sum_{(u, v) \in E(G_1 \oplus G_2)} [d_{G_1}(u) n_2 + d_{G_2}(v)]^2
+ [d_{G_1}^2(u) - d_{G_2}^2(u)]
= [2n_2 m_1 M_3(G_2)] + n_1 \mathcal{N}Z(G_2)
+ [2n_2 m_2 M_3(G_1)] + n_2 \mathcal{N}Z(G_1).
As an application of Theorem 3.11, we present formulae for the Nano-Zagreb index of the fence graph \(C_q[P_1]\) and the closed fence graph \(P_1[C_q]\).

**Example 3.12:** \((C_q[P_1]) = 6q, \ (P_1[C_q]) = 6q.

**Theorem 3.13:** Let \(G_1\) and \(G_2\) be two graphs with \(n_1\) and \(n_2\) vertices, and \(m_1\) and \(m_2\) edges respectively. Then

\[
\mathcal{N}Z(G_1 \circ G_2) = \mathcal{N}Z(G_1) + 2n_2M_3(G_1) + n_1\mathcal{N}Z(G_2) - 2n_1M_3(G_2) + 2M_1(G_1)n_2 + n_1n_2^3 + 4n_2m_1 - 2M_1(G_2)n_1 - n_1n_2 - 4m_2n_1.
\]

**Proof:** Using the definition of the Nano-Zagreb index, we have

\[
\mathcal{N}Z(G_1 \circ G_2) = \sum_{uv \in E(G_1 \circ G_2)} [d_{G_1 \circ G_2}(u) - d_{G_1 \circ G_2}(v)]^2 + \sum_{uv \in E(G_1 \oplus G_2)} [d_{G_1 \oplus G_2}(u) - d_{G_1 \oplus G_2}(v)]^2 + \sum_{uv \in E(G_1 \oplus G_2)} [d_{G_1 \oplus G_2}(u) - d_{G_1 \oplus G_2}(v)]^2
\]

and similarly we have:

\[
\mathcal{N}Z(G_2) = 2n_2M_3(G_2).
\]

Finally, we can write:

\[
\sum_{uv \in E(G_1 \circ G_2)} [d_{G_1 \circ G_2}(u) - d_{G_1 \circ G_2}(v)]^2 - [d_{G_1 \circ G_2}(v) - d_{G_1 \circ G_2}(v)]^2
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{N}Z(G_2) = 2n_2M_3(G_2).
\]

**Example 3.14:** \(\mathcal{N}Z(P_r \circ C_q) = r^q - r - 28q + 6.

**Theorem 3.15:** Let \(G_1\) and \(G_2\) be two graphs with \(n_1\) and \(n_2\) vertices, and \(m_1\) and \(m_2\) edges respectively. Then

\[
\mathcal{N}Z(G_1 + G_2) = \mathcal{N}Z(G_1) - 2n_2M_3(G_1) + \mathcal{N}Z(G_2) - 2n_1M_3(G_2) + 2M_1(G_1)n_2 + n_1n_2^3 + 4n_2m_1 - 2M_1(G_2)n_1 - n_1n_2 - 4m_2n_1.
\]

**Proof:** From the definition, we know that:

\[
E(G_1 + G_2) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \{uv : u \in V(G_1), v \in V(G_2)\}.
\]

So we have:

\[
\mathcal{N}Z(G_1 + G_2) = \sum_{uv \in (G_1 + G_2)} [d_{G_1 + G_2}(u) - d_{G_1 + G_2}(v)]^2 + \sum_{uv \in E(G_1)} [d_{G_1 + G_2}(u) - d_{G_1 + G_2}(v)]^2 + \sum_{uv \in E(G_1)} [d_{G_1 + G_2}(u) - d_{G_1 + G_2}(v)]^2
\]

It is easy to see that:

\[
\sum_{uv \in E(G_1)} [d_{G_1 + G_2}(u) - d_{G_1 + G_2}(v)]^2 = \sum_{uv \in E(G_1)} [d_{G_1}(u) - d_{G_1}(v)]^2 - 2n_2(d_{G_1}(u) - d_{G_1}(v))
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{N}Z(G_1) = 2n_2M_3(G_1).
\]

**Example 3.18:** \(\mathcal{N}Z(P_r \oplus K_4) = 4r^3 - 36r + 34.

\[
\sum_{uv \in E(G_1)} [d_{G_1}(u) - d_{G_1}(v)]^2 - 2n_2(d_{G_1}(u) - d_{G_1}(v))
\]

Finally, we can write:

\[
\sum_{uv \in E(G_1 \circ G_2)} [d_{G_1 \circ G_2}(u) - d_{G_1 \circ G_2}(v)]^2 - [d_{G_1 \circ G_2}(v) - d_{G_1 \circ G_2}(v)]^2
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{N}Z(G_2) = 2n_2M_3(G_2).
\]
IV. THE MULTIPlicative NANO-ZAGREB INDEX OF SOME GRAPH OPERATIONS

In this section, we define the multiplicative Nano-Zagreb index of a graph also we give some upper bounds for the multiplicative Nano-Zagreb index of various graph operations such as corona product, Cartesian product, composition, disjunction and symmetric difference. Moreover, computations are conducted for some well-known graphs. Eliasi et al. [4] considered a new multiplicative version of the first Zagreb index as

\[ H_1^*(G) = \prod_{uv \in E(G)} [d_G(u) + d_G(v)]. \]

Recently many other multiplicative indices and coindices of graphs were studied, for example, in [19], [20], [21]. In this paper, we initiate a study of the multiplicative Nano-Zagreb indices of graphs. We define the multiplicative Nano-Zagreb index of a graph \( G \) as follows

\[ \mathcal{N}^*Z(G) = \prod_{uv \in E(G)} [d_G^2(u) - d_G^2(v)]. \]

We begin this section with standard inequality as follows:

**Lemma 4.1 (Arithmetic Mean-Geometric Mean Inequality):** [22] Let \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \) be non-negative numbers. Then

\[ \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_n}{n} \geq \sqrt[n]{x_1x_2\ldots x_n} \tag{5} \]

holds with equality if and only if all the \( x_i \)'s are equal.

**Proposition 4.2:** Let \( G \) be a regular graph. Then \( \mathcal{N}^*Z(G) = 0 \).

**Proposition 4.3:** Let \( C_n \) be a cycle with \( n \geq 3 \) vertices. Then \( \mathcal{N}^*Z(C_n) = 0 \).

**Proposition 4.4:** Let \( K_n \) be a complete graph with \( n \) vertices. Then \( \mathcal{N}^*Z(K_n) = 0 \).

**Proposition 4.5:** Let \( K_{n,n} \) be a complete bipartite graph with \( 2n \) vertices. Then \( \mathcal{N}^*Z(K_{n,n}) = 0 \).

Now, we compute the Multiplicative Nano-Zagreb index for a complete bipartite graph.

**Proposition 4.6:** Let \( K_{n,m} \) be a complete bipartite graph with \( m + n \) vertices. Then \( \mathcal{N}^*Z(K_{n,m}) = (m^2 - n^2)^{mn} \).

**Proof:** Let \( K_{n,m} \) be a complete bipartite graph with \( m + n \) vertices and \( mn \) edges. Consider

\[ \mathcal{N}^*Z(K_{n,m}) = \prod_{uv \in E(K_{n,m})} [d_G(u) - d_G(v)] = \left( m^2 - n^2 \right)^{mn} \times \prod_{uv \in E(K_{n,m})} [d_G(u) - d_G(v)]. \]

**Proposition 4.7:** Let \( P_n \) be a path with \( n > 3 \) vertices. Then \( \mathcal{N}^*Z(P_n) = 0 \).

**Proof:** Let \( P_n \) be a path with \( n > 3 \) vertices. Consider

\[ \mathcal{N}^*Z(P_n) = \prod_{uv \in E(P_n)} [d_G(u) - d_G(v)] = 3 \times 0 \times 0 \times 0 \times 3 = 0. \]

**Proposition 4.8:** Let \( W_n \) be a wheel with \( n > 4 \) vertices. Then \( \mathcal{N}^*Z(W_n) = 0 \).

**Proof:** Let \( W_n \) be a wheel with \( n > 4 \) vertices. Consider

\[ \mathcal{N}^*Z(W_n) = \prod_{uv \in E(W_n)} [d_G^2(u) - d_G^2(v)] = (3^2 - 3^2) \times (3^2 - 3^2) \times \ldots \times (3^2 - 3^2) \times ((n - 2)^2 - 3^2) \times ((n - 2)^2 - 3^2) \times \ldots \times ((n - 2)^2 - 3^2). \]

This actually can be written as

\[ \prod_{uv \in E(G)} [d_G(u) + d_G(v)] \]

**Theorem 4.9:** Let \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) be two graphs with \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) vertices, \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) edges respectively. Then

\[ \mathcal{N}^*Z(G_1 \times G_2) \leq \left[ \frac{1}{n_1m_2} \left( \left| \sum_{i,v \in V(G_1)} \left[ d_{G_1}^2(u_i) + d_{G_2}^2(u_i) \right] \right| \mathcal{N}^*Z(G_1) + \frac{m_1}{n_2m_1} \sum_{j,v \in V(G_2)} \left[ d_{G_2}^2(u_j) + d_{G_1}^2(u_j) \right] \right) \right]^{n_1m_2}. \]

**Proof:** By the definition of the multiplicative Nano-Zagreb index and from the above partition of the edge set in \( G_1 \times G_2 \), we have

\[ \mathcal{N}^*Z(G_1 \times G_2) \leq \prod_{uv \in E(G_1 \times G_2)} [d_{G_1}(u) + d_{G_2}(v)]^2 - [d_{G_1}(u) + d_{G_2}(v)]^2. \]

However, from the inequality (5), we get

\[ \leq \left[ \frac{1}{n_1m_2} \left( \left| \sum_{i,v \in V(G_1)} \left[ d_{G_1}^2(u_i) + d_{G_2}^2(u_i) \right] \right| \mathcal{N}^*Z(G_1) + \frac{m_1}{n_2m_1} \sum_{j,v \in V(G_2)} \left[ d_{G_2}^2(u_j) + d_{G_1}^2(u_j) \right] \right) \right]^{n_1m_2}. \]
\[
\times \left( \frac{n_2N^*Z(G_1) + 4m_2M_3(G_1)}{n_2m_1} \right)^{n_1m_2}.
\]

**Theorem 4.10:** Let \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) be two graphs with \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) vertices, \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) edges respectively. Then

\[
N^*Z(G_1 \odot G_2) \\
\leq \left[ \frac{1}{m_1} \left( \frac{1}{n_1} \right)^{n_1m_2} \right] \times \left[ \frac{n_2M_1(G_1) + n_2^2n_1 + 4n_2^2m_1 - n_1M_1(G_2) - n_1n_2 - 4m_2n_1}{n_1n_2} \right]^{n_1m_2}.
\]

**Proof:** By the definition of the multiplicative Nano-Zagreb index and from the above partition of the edge set in \( G_1 \odot G_2 \), we have

\[
N^*Z(G_1 \odot G_2) = \prod_{(u,v \in G_1 \odot G_2)} \left[ d_{G_1 \odot G_2}(u,v_i) - d_{G_1 \odot G_2}(u_p,v_q) \right]^2
\]

However, from the inequality (5), we get

\[
\leq \left[ \frac{n_1N^*Z(G_1) + 4n_2m_1M_3(G_1)}{n_1m_2} \right] \times \left[ \frac{n_2M_1(G_1) + n_2^2n_1 + 4n_2^2m_1 - n_1M_1(G_2) - n_1n_2 - 4m_2n_1}{n_1n_2} \right]^{n_1m_2}.
\]

**Theorem 4.11:** Let \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) be two graphs with \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) vertices, \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) edges respectively. Then

\[
N^*Z(G_1 \odot G_2) \leq \left[ \frac{n_1N^*Z(G_1) + 4n_2m_1M_3(G_2)}{n_1m_2} \right] \times \left[ \frac{n_2^3N^*Z(G_1) + 4n_2^2m_2M_3(G_2)}{m_1n_2} \right]^{n_1m_2^n_2}.
\]

**Proof:** By the definition of the multiplicative Nano-Zagreb index and from the above partition of the edge set in \( G_1 \odot G_2 \), we have

\[
N^*Z(G_1 \odot G_2) = \prod_{(u,v \in G_1 \odot G_2)} \left[ d_{G_1 \odot G_2}(u,v_i) - d_{G_1 \odot G_2}(u_p,v_q) \right]^2
\]

However, from the inequality (5), we get

\[
\leq \left[ \frac{n_1N^*Z(G_1) + 4n_2m_1M_3(G_1)}{n_1m_2} \right] \times \left[ \frac{n_2^3N^*Z(G_1) + 4n_2^2m_2M_3(G_2)}{m_1n_2} \right]^{n_1m_2^n_2}.
\]

**Theorem 4.12:** Let \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) be two graphs with \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) vertices, \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) edges respectively. Then

\[
N^*Z(G_1 \odot G_2) = 0.
\]

**Proof:** By the definition of the multiplicative Nano-Zagreb index and from the above partition of the edge set in \( G_1 \odot G_2 \), we have

\[
N^*Z(G_1 \odot G_2) = \prod_{(u,v \in G_1 \odot G_2)} \left[ d_{G_1 \odot G_2}(u,v_i) - d_{G_1 \odot G_2}(u_p,v_q) \right]^2
\]

However, from the inequality (5), we get

\[
\leq \left[ \frac{n_1N^*Z(G_1) + 4n_2m_1M_3(G_1)}{n_1m_2} \right] \times \left[ \frac{n_2^3N^*Z(G_1) + 4n_2^2m_2M_3(G_2)}{m_1n_2} \right]^{n_1m_2^n_2}.
\]
Theorem 4.13: Let \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) be two graphs with \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) vertices, \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) edges respectively. Then

\[
\mathcal{N}^+ Z(G_1 \oplus G_2) \\
\leq \left[ \mathcal{N}^+ Z(G_1) + 2n_2M_3(G_1) \right]^{m_1} \\
\times \left[ \mathcal{N}^+ Z(G_2) + 2n_1M_3(G_2) \right]^{m_2} \\
\times \left[ n_2M_1(G_1) + n_2^3n_1 + 4n_2m_1 - n_1M_1(G_2) - n_1^3n_2 - 4n_1m_2 \right]^{n_1n_2} \\
\]

Proof: By the definition of the multiplicative Nano-Zagreb index and from the above partition of the edge set in \( G_1 \oplus G_2 \), we have

\[
\mathcal{N}^+ Z(G_1 \oplus G_2) \\
= \prod_{(u,v) \in E(G_1 \oplus G_2)} \left[ d_{G_1 \oplus G_2}(u,v) \right]^2 - \left[ d_{G_1 \oplus G_2}(u,v) \right]^2 \\
= \prod_{(u,v) \in E(G_1)} \left[ d_{G_1}(u) + n_2 \right]^2 - \left[ d_{G_1}(u) + n_2 \right]^2 \\
\times \prod_{v \in V(G_1)} \left[ d_{G_2}(v) + n_1 \right]^2 - \left[ d_{G_2}(v) + n_1 \right]^2 \\
\times \prod_{u \in V(G_1)} \left[ d_{G_2}(v) + n_2 + 2n_2d_{G_1}(u) \right] \\
- \left[ d_{G_2}(v) + n_1 + 2n_1d_{G_1}(v) \right]. \\
\]

However, from the inequality (5), we get

\[
\leq \left[ \mathcal{N}^+ Z(G_1) + 2n_2M_3(G_1) \right]^{m_1} \\
\times \left[ \mathcal{N}^+ Z(G_2) + 2n_1M_3(G_2) \right]^{m_2} \\
\times \left[ n_2M_1(G_1) + n_2^3n_1 + 4n_2m_1 - n_1M_1(G_2) - n_1^3n_2 - 4n_1m_2 \right]^{n_1n_2} \\
\]

**Theorem 4.14:** Let \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) be two graphs with \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) vertices, \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) edges respectively. Then

\[
\mathcal{N}^+ Z(G_1 \oplus G_2) = 0. \\
\]

Two graphs are isomorphic if there exists a vertex labeling that preserves adjacency, they can be viewed as different geometrical representations of the same abstract graph defined as a set of elements (vertices) \( \{v_i\} , i \in 1, 2, ..., n \) and a set of
elements (edges) that are unordered duplets from the former set \( \{u_i, v_j\}, i \neq j \in 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

Example 4.15: As an application in Chemistry, shows that in all alkanes on \( n \) vertices, we computed the value of \( \mathcal{N}Z \) and \( Z^*Z \) depends on the respected isomer. For instance, we computed these values for octane isomers as reported in Table I. All isomers of octane are depicted in Figure 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>( \mathcal{N}Z )</th>
<th>( Z^*Z )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Octane</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-methyl-heptane</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-methyl-heptane</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-methyl-heptane</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-ethyl-hexane</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,2-dimethyl-hexane</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>759375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3-dimethyl-hexane</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-dimethyl-hexane</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,5-dimethyl-hexane</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>12960000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,3-dimethyl-hexane</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,4-dimethyl-hexane</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-methyl-3-ethyl-pentane</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>3628800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-methyl-3-ethyl-pentane</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,2,3-trimethyl-pentane</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,2,4-trimethyl-pentane</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,2,5-trimethyl-pentane</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>699840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,3-trimethyl-pentane</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>19200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,4-trimethyl-pentane</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,2,3,3-tetramethylbutane</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I

\( \mathcal{N}Z \) AND \( Z^*Z \) OF THE OCTANE ISOMERS.

![Fig. 1. All octane isomers.](image)
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