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ABSTRACT

Commuter Train is one of the facilities that must be managed properly, economically and efficiently by the principles of Facility Asset Management. The availability of infrastructure and vehicles for this facility is adjusted based on passenger demand. This requires sufficient knowledge on the travel behavior characteristics, i.e., different characteristics composition proportion. Travel behavior survey requires the appropriate formula or method to calculate the minimum sample size, for this case are proportions of \( pq \), \( pqr \), \( pqrs \) etc. Therefore, a search for Minimum Sample Size Calculation Method for the Travel Behaviour Survey is needed. A literature study was employed for this search. This is important because the calculation method for the minimum sample size for proportions \( pq \) exists, but for the proportion of \( pqr, pqrs \), etc do not yet exist. The results of the study indicates that the SR Method is the most appropriate method for calculating the minimum number of samples for the case of the proportion of \( pqr, pqrs, pqrst \), etc. The SR Method is developed based on Goodnees of Fit method combined with the Maximum Acceptable Error principle. The combination of the two is named the MAECCCL (Maximum Acceptable Error on a Certain Confidence Level) principle.
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INTRODUCTION

Facilities are important components that must be managed properly, economically and efficiently according to the principles of Facility Asset Management. One form of transportation facilities in the urban area is the Commuter Train service. The existence of the commuter train service, of course, requires the existence of infrastructure and vehicles. The availability of infrastructure and vehicles is adjusted to passenger demand (Soemitro & Suprayitno, 2018). The plan of the commuter train service certainly requires sufficient knowledge of the commuter train passenger travel behavior behavior (Susanti, Soemitro & Suprayitno, 2018). The parameters for the travel behavior characteristics are the number of trips, the origin and destination, the transportation used and the selected route (Khisty & Lall, 2003; Suprayitno & Upa, 2016).
Research on travel behavior characteristics collects data on passenger characteristics and travel behavior characteristics. The passenger and travel behavior characteristics consist of certain proportion compositions. The proportion compositions for the passenger characteristics are, for example, gender, marital status, age, education, and employment. Travel behavior characteristics, among others are the compositions of the trip purpose, the mode used, and access and egress the distance.

The proportion compositions for each characteristic vary in number. The proportion compositions for gender are two consisting of a male (p) and female (q); while the proportions of the trip purpose, consists of nine compositions pqrstuvwx. The difference in the number of compositions requires the appropriate formula to calculate the minimum sample size. The formula for calculating minimum sample size is an important step for the research/study because these sample size are very closely related to the level of error (Noordzij et al 2010).

Therefore, a preliminary study is needed to search for the appropriate minimum sample size calculation method for proportion cases.

**METHOD**

The method used to find the Minimum Sample Size Calculation Method for the research survey of travel behavior characteristics of commuter train passengers is conducted through literature studies (see Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Research Flow Chart](image-url)


**RESEARCH ANALYSIS**

**Proportion Composition on Travel Behavior Characteristics**

There are two important points on the research of travel behavior characteristics of commuter train passengers, namely: the passenger characteristics and travel behavior characteristics. In passenger characteristics, there are proportion compositions of gender, marital status, and age; while in travel behavior characteristics among other it observe the proportion composition of the traveler and modal intent before using the commuter train (see Table 2).

**Table 2. Proportion Composition of Travel Behavior Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
<th>Proportion Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>What is the proportion of male and female passengers on a commuter train?</td>
<td>pq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td>What is the proportion of married and unmarried commuter passengers?</td>
<td>pq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>What is the proportion of different ages?</td>
<td>??</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Characteristics of Travel Behavior**

1. Travel Purpose | What is the proportion of commuter train passengers with the purpose of working, school, studying, shopping, trading, health check, visiting, going home from work, recreation? | pqrstuvwx |
2. Reason of riding commuter train | What is the proportion of commuter train passengers who choose to use the train because it is close to the original location, close to the destination, low cost, faster, safer and more convenient? | pqrst |

**Examples of Case Studies of Travel Behavior Characteristics**

Research discussing travel behavior characteristics has been carried out by many researchers previously. One travel behavior research related to discuss the travel distances to the BRI Kertajaya Office. This study involved the proportion of pqrs case for the access distances. The proportion composition was presented in Tables and Charts of the proportion distribution curve (see Table 3 and Figure 2) (Suprayitno, Ratnasari & Saraswati, 2017).

**Table 3. Proportion Composition of Access**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Access Distance Interval (Km)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 – 3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 – 6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6 – 9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9 - 12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Suprayitno, Ratnasari & Saraswati, 2017
**Figure 2.** Proportion Graphs

**Calculation Formula for Minimum Sample Size**

The formula to calculate the minimum sample size can be divided into four categories: 1) the minimum sample for the average value; 2) the minimum sample for proportion value; 3) the minimum sample for the variance value; and 4) the Slovin formula. Those formulas are gotten from several literature studies. In the first three cases, there are more than one formula found; while in Slovin Formula, there is only one formula on how to calculate the minimum sample size. These formulae are showed in Table 4.

### Table 4. Calculation Formula for Minimum Sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Sampling purposes</th>
<th>Case type</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Average value</td>
<td>Simple Random sample size</td>
<td>[ n = \frac{N\sigma^2}{(N-1)D + \sigma^2} ]</td>
<td>Supranto, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Proportion value</td>
<td>Simple Random sample size</td>
<td>[ n = \frac{NZ(1-\alpha/2)^2P(1-P)}{Nd^2 + Z(1-\alpha/2)^2P(1-P)} ]</td>
<td>Riyanto, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Variance Formula</td>
<td>Simple Random Sample Size</td>
<td>[ n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N(N_i\sigma_i)}{\sqrt{\chi^2}} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N(N_i\sigma_i)}{\sqrt{\chi^2}} \right) ]</td>
<td>Asra &amp; Prasetyo, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Slovin formula</td>
<td>Simple Random Sample size</td>
<td>[ n = \frac{N}{NE^2 + 1} ]</td>
<td>Asra &amp; Prasetyo, 2015; Sevilla – 1992</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**
- \(N\) : Population
- \(d\) : Absolute Precision
- \(E\) : Absolute Precision
- \(D\) : Accepted Sampling Error
- \(Z\) : Normal Table value
- \(\chi^2\) : Chi Square value
- \(\sigma^2\) : Population Variance value
- \(\chi_i\) : Data on the i
- \(p\) : Proportion
- \(q\) : Proportion of \(q = (1-p)\)
μ : Population Average value
Sh : Deviation standard on the –h layer
Nh = Number of units on the h layer
Ch = Cost on each interview per unit on the h layer
V = Variance

Table 4 shows a summary of the several types of minimum sample size calculation formula which can be described as follow:

- The formula of minimum sample size for average value cases cannot be used in the research of travel behavior characteristics because it relates to the proportion value instead of the average value.
- The formula of minimum sample size for the proportion value case can be used in the research of travel behavior characteristics as this research relates to proportion case.
- The formula of minimum sample size for the variance value case cannot be used in the research of travel behavior characteristics, because this research relates to the proportion value.
- The Slovin formula is not appropriate to calculate minimum sample size in the travel behavior research because this formula does not contain a proportion; in Slovin formula, the population must be known in advance and the formula cannot indicate the quality of the sample in terms of MAECCI (Suprayitno, Saraswati & Fajrinia, 2016).

Calculation Trial for the Minimum Sample Size by Using the Proportion Formula

The formula for the proportion case was obtained, and it is designated only for the proportion of pq. While, the proportion dealt in travel behavior are various, means proportion cases of pqr, pqrs and so on. Therefore, the existing formula has to be tested for the proportion case of more than pq, i.e pqr, pqrs, etc. (see Table 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Proportion composition</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Result (Passenger)</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1.  | pq                     | \[ n = \frac{NZ_{(1-a/2)}^2 P (1-P)}{Nd^2 + Z_{(1-a/2)}^2 P (1-P)} \] | 75      | - Population (N) = 412 people
- Normal Table (Z) = 1.96
- Confidence level (d) = 10%
- Estimated value (P) = 0.5 | The estimated Value (P) is assumed to be 0.5 to produce a large number of samples |
| 2.  | pqr                    | \[ n = \frac{NZ_{(1-a/2)}^2 pqr}{Nd^2 + Z_{(1-a/2)}^2 pqr} \] | 13      | - Population (N) = 412 people
- Normal Table (Z) = 1.96
- Confidence level (d) = 10%
- Estimated value (P) = 0.33 | The estimated Value (P) is assumed to be 0.33 to produce a large number of samples |
Table 5 shows the minimum sample size calculation result. Three important points must be noted.

- The number of minimum sample size for the proportion of pq was 75 people.
- The number of minimum sample size the for proportion of pqr was 13 people.
- The minimum sample size for the proportion of pqr should be more than the minimum sample size for the proportion of pq. Therefore the formula for the proportion case cannot be used to calculate the minimum sample size for the proportion case of pqr and so on.

Thus, how to calculate the appropriate number of minimum sample size for the proportion case of pqr, pqrs, pqrst and so on, is still in question.

The proportion can be presented in a table or as a graph. The Goodness of Fit Test is designated to test whether two graphs are the same or not. An experiment has been done to test whether this test can be used for calculating the minimum sample size for the proportion case.

Minimum Number of Sample Calculation by using Goodness of Fit Test.

In the statistical technique, there are inferential statistics. One of them is the Goodness of Fit. This is usually used to check whether the sampling distribution curve is similar to the the population curve. The test uses the Chi-Square Statistic Test ($\chi^2$) to determine the similarity of the curves (Suprayitno et al, 2017) as it is written below.

$$H_0 : \chi^2 \leq \chi_0^2 : \text{means that the curve is similar to the curve of total population}$$
$$H_1 : \chi^2 > \chi_0^2 : \text{means that the curve is different with the curve of total population}$$

$$\chi^2 = \sum \{(Y_i - Y_0^i)^2 / Y_0^i\}$$  
$$\chi_0^2 = \chi^2(v, \beta)$$  
$$v = n - k - 1$$

Where:

- $\chi^2$ : Chi-Square value
- $\chi^2(v, \beta)$ : Chi-Square value the degree of freedom and level of trust
- $Y_i$ : Sampling distribution value
- $Y_0^i$ : Population distribution value
- $\beta$ : Confidence level
- $v$ : Degree of freedom
- $n$ : Number a sampling distribution point
- $k$ : Observed parameter

A trial, to use the Goodness of Fit Test to calculate the Minimum Sample Size for Proportion case, has been conducted previously, for the case of working trip to the BRI Kertajaya Surabaya office (Suprayitno et al. 2016; Suprayitno et al. 2018). A certain number of sample was taken, and take it as a reference or consider as a population. From this considered population a certain number sample were taken, and the sample is calculated as the percentage of the population. Then, the sample proportion distribution curve was checked against the reference proportion distribution curve, whether both can be consider as the same. If the two curve are same, it can be concluded the the sample size, measured in percentage, is sufficient (Suprayitno et al. 2018). The results of the experiment reported in the journals contained errors, as it is presented as follows (Suprayitno et al. 2018).

- The confidence level is set as 95%, and the maximum acceptable error is set as 10%.
- The population is 50 persons.
• Sampling population is 40 persons (80% of the total population)
• Access distance interval are set as follow: 0 - 3 Km, 3 – 6 Km, 6 – 9 Km, and 9 – 12 Km.
• The Goodness of Fit Test calculation gave the following result.
• The χ² < χ₀² (1.376 < 2) means that the sample curve can be considered as the same as the population curve (see Figure 3).
• The mean of absolute error was 9%.
• It can be concluded that 80% sample size is sufficient.

Figure 3. Curve Pattern of Travel Movement at BRI Kertajaya Surabaya

A second experiment was conducted for the access distance to SMA 9 Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya (Suprayitno et al. 2016; Suprayitno et al. 2018).
• A confidence level of 95% and a maximum acceptable error of 10% were set
• The population taken is 54 person
• The sampling is of 44 person (81.5%).
• The access distances was intervaled into 0 – 3 Km, 3 – 6 Km, 6 – 9 Km, 9 – 12 Km, 12 – 15 Km, 15 – 18 Km, and 18 – 21 Km.
• The Goodness of Fit test gave the following result.
• The χ² ≤ χ₀² (1.6 < 5) both curve can be considered the same (see Figure 4).
• The calculated means of absolute error was 10.3%, not acceptable.
• It can be concluded that, for this case, 81.5% sample is not sufficient

Source: Suprayitno et al, 2016

Source: Suprayitno et al, 2016
The two experiments show that Goodness of Fit Test can lead to false conclusions. Having a good fit sample does not always give acceptable error. A better method need to be developed, by incorprating Goodness of Fit Test and Maximum Acceptable Error principle.

**Minimum Sample Size Calculation by using the SR Method**

The SR Method is developed for Calculating the Minimum Sample Size for the case of Proportion Distribution. The SR Method use a combination of Goodness of Fit Test and Maximum Acceptable Error principle. Therefore this SR Method use the MAECCCL principle : Maximum Acceptable Error at a Certain Confidence Level. The Goodness of Fit use the Chi-square ($\chi^2$) statistics test, while the Maximum Acceptable Error use the value of Average Absolute Error. By employing the Maximum Acceptable Error test, the lack of only using the Goodness of Fit test is compensated. If the Sample satisfy those two test : Goodness of Fit test and Maximum Acceptable Error test, it can be considered that the Number of Sample is sufficient. The SR name is taken from the author’s fist letter of their name (Suprayitno et al. 2017). The statistical test used are as follows.

$H_0 : |\bar{e}|, \text{ case was accepted}$

$H_1 : |\bar{e}|, \text{ case was rejected}$

$$|\bar{e}| = \frac{\Sigma |e_i|}{n} \quad (4)$$

$$|e_i| = \frac{|Y_i - Y^0_i|}{Y^0_i} \quad (5)$$

Note:

- $E$ : Accepted error (in percentage)
- $|e_i|$ : Absolute error in each distribution point (in percentage)
- $|\bar{e}|$ : Average of absolute error (in percentage)
- $n$ : Total sample
- $Y_i$ : Sample distribution value (in percentage)
- $Y^0_i$ : Population distribution value (in percentage)

A trial for using the SR Method has been done for the case of the access distance to BRI Kertajaya Surabaya from home for the bank employee (Suprayitno et al. 2017). A reference population of 50 persons was taken with a Confidence Level of 90% at Maximum Acceptable Error (MAE) of 10%. Four sampling level of 90%, 80%, 70% and 60% were investigated. For each percentage of sample, 3 samples were collected, namely Sample A, Sample B and Sample C. The calculation is presented in Table 6. The experiment shows the following result.

- The 90% sampling conveyed that the curve was the same with the 100% population curve ($H_0 : \chi^2 \leq \chi^2_{0.05}$). The $\chi^2$ was 0.031, 0.288, 0.344 or smaller than the $\chi^2_{0.05} = 5.99$. The three average error values ($|\bar{e}|$) calculated were smaller than the MAE.
- The 80% sampling conveyed that the curve was the same with the 100% population curve ($H_0 : \chi^2 \leq \chi^2_{0.05}$). The three absolute average error values ($|\bar{e}|$) were less than the MAE.
- The 70% sampling showed that not all curves were similar to the population curve. The value $\chi^2_{Ai} > \chi^2_{0.05}$ is 6.670 > 5.99. The average absolute error value ($|\bar{e}|$) in the sample. 70A exceeded the maximum error limit (MAE), i.e 11.8% > 10%.
- The 60% sampling showed that the curve was the same with the 100% population curve ($H_0 : \chi^2 \leq \chi^2_{0.05}$). The average absolute error value ($|\bar{e}|$) for 60A 60B 60C exceeds the MAE.
The calculation result on $\chi^2$ and $|\bar{e}|$ using SR Method, for 80% sample, is presented in the following Table 6.

**Table 6. Error Deviation Acceptance on 80% Sampling**

| No | Travel Distance Km | Percentage Distribution | $\chi^2$ Calculation | $|\bar{e}|$ Calculation |
|----|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
|    | Ref | 80A | 80B | 80C | $\chi^2 A_i$ | $\chi^2 B_i$ | $\chi^2 C_i$ | $A|\bar{e}|$ | $B|\bar{e}|$ | $C|\bar{e}|$ |
| 1. | 0-3 | 40  | 45.0 | 37.5 | 37.5 | 0.625 | 0.156 | 0.156 | 12.5 | 5.6 | 6.7 |
| 2. | 3-6 | 42  | 42.5 | 42.5 | 40.0 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.095 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 5.3 |
| 3. | 6-9 | 16  | 10.0 | 17.5 | 20.0 | 2.250 | 0.141 | 1.000 | 15.0 | 3.3 | 10.7 |
| 4. | 9-12| 2   | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.125 | 0.125 | 0.125 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

CPA

$\chi^2 (2.95\%) = 5.99$

EVA

Maximum Acceptable Error Value (MAE) = 10%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7.5</th>
<th>2.8</th>
<th>6.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Suprayitno et al. 2017

It can be concluded that the SR Method is so far appropriate for Calculating the Minimum Sample Size for Proportion Characteristic Case. The sample proportion distribution can be checked against that of the population and the error can be checked not to exceed a certain value, the MAECCCL.

**SR Method Utilization Trial for Commuter Train Passenger Travel Behavior**

The appropriate method, the SR Method, to calculate the minimal number of sample for the proportion case, vary more than $pq$ proportion, has been found. Now, the SR Method will be tried to calculate the minimum number of sample for Travel Behavior in Surabaya Commuter Train.

A travel behavior survey has been done on SUPOR (Surabaya – Porong) commuter train in Surabaya. The survey was carried out on September 19, 2018, at 5:30 a.m. to 5:05 a.m., for Porong – Surabaya direction, with 106 passengers as the total population. Data on the “reason for riding commuter train” were taken. The questionnaire prepared 5 reasons, including the others. Thus the proportion distribution consists of $pqrst$. The survey was done on-board. The data were presented in tables and curves a proportion distribution (see Table 7 and Figure 5).

**Table 7. Proportion Composition of the Reason for Riding a Commuter Train**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Reasons for Riding Commuter Train</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Near to the origin location</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Near to the destination location</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Low cost</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Faster</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>106</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Three different 90% samples of the 106 individual population were taken to test whether the 90% sample can satisfy the Minimal Number of Sample for this case. The three different 90% samples are called 90A, 90B, and 90C. Those three were taken from the 90% upper, 90% middle, and 90% bottom population. The proportion distribution of the population and the three 90% samples is presented in Figure 6.

The Statistical Test, Goodness of Fit and Maximum Acceptable Error then conducted. The calculation result is presented in Table 8. The Chi-Square ($\chi^2$) test indicated that all the three $\chi^2 \leq \chi^2_{0.05}$, the $\chi^2$ values of 90A (1.665), 90B (0.660), and 90C (0.249) were less than $\chi^2_{0.05}$ (3.95%) or 7.81. It means that the three sample curve is the same as the population curve.

Meanwhile the MAE calculation indicated that for Sample 90A the error value ($\bar{e}$) was 11.440, more than MAE (accepted error) of 10. It can be concluded that the 90% Sample is slightly satisfactory.
Table 8. Calculation of $\chi^2$ and $|\bar{e}|$

| No. | Reason in riding commuter train | Percentage Distribution | $\chi^2$ Calculation | $|\bar{e}|$ Calculation |
|-----|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
|     |                                | 100 | 90A | 90B | 90C | $\chi^2 A_i$ | $\chi^2 B_i$ | $\chi^2 C_i$ | $A|\bar{e}|$ | $B|\bar{e}|$ | $C|\bar{e}|$ |
| 1   | Near to origin location        | 18.8| 18.9| 17.8| 17.8| 0.000         | 0.050         | 0.050         | 0.42       | 5.15       | 5.15       |
| 2   | Near to destination location  | 7   | 5   | 9   | 9   | 0.201         | 0.004         | 0.004         | 16.3       | 2.36       | 2.36       |
| 3   | Low cost                       | 31.1| 35.7| 34.7| 32.6| 0.697         | 0.417         | 0.072         | 14.9       | 11.5       | 4.81       |
| 4   | Faster                         | 33.0| 28.4| 30.5| 33.6| 0.640         | 0.188         | 0.013         | 13.9       | 7.54       | 2.01       |
| 5   | Others                         | 9.43| 10.5| 9.47| 8.42| 0.126         | 0.000         | 0.109         | 11.5       | 0.42       | 10.7       |
|     | Total                          | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100.0         | 100.0         | 100.0         | 79         | 1          | 37         |

CPA $\chi^2 (3.95\%) = 7.81$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPA</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>Accept $H_0$</th>
<th>Accept $H_0$</th>
<th>Accept $H_0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v = 3</td>
<td>1.665</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EVA $\chi^2 (10\%) = 11.4$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EVA</th>
<th>Maximum Accepted Error Value = 10%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v = 3</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPA</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overview of Searching Steps in Finding Calculation Method

Finally, finding the appropriate method for calculating the minimum number of sample for the travel behavior survey, for commuter train passenger, required several trials as shown in Figure 7.
Figure 7. Overview of the Research Steps
CONCLUSION

The searching effort to find the Appropriate Minimum Sample Size Calculation Method give us the following main conclusions.

- Research on travel behavior characteristics of Surabaya commuter train passengers composed of various type of proportion of pq, pqr, pqrs.
- The Minimum Number of Sample Formula for proportion value cases can only be used for the proportion of pq.
- The Formula mentioned above cannot be used for the case of Proportion Composition of pqr, pqrs, pqrstu, and so on.
- Proportion cases can be presented in the form of proportional distribution table and graph.
- The Goodness of Fit Test is designated to test whether two graphs can be considered as the same graph.
- The results of the experiment indicated that only using the Goodness of Fit Test for measuring the feasibility of sample size can produce a misleading conclusions. Satisfy Goodness of Fit does not always produce acceptable error value.
- The SR Method incorporating two test: Goodness of Fit test and Maximum Acceptable Error test. The SR Method is so far shown to be appropriate for Calculating the Minimum Number of Sample for the Proportion Data case.

Further extensive researches are still needed regarding the application of the SR Method for calculating the minimum number of sample travel behavior research with proportion data. This is mainly to reveal the general characteristics of SR Method results related to the Travel Behavior various characteristics.

NOTE. This research was conducted using a literature study. The result, in the future, is expected to provide an overview for other researchers, especially to find the most appropriate formula for minimum sample size for the proportion case of travel behavior characteristics. The SR is an abbreviation of the family names of the SR Method main creators: Suprayitno and Ratnasari.
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