Study and Modelling of Energy Supply at Moti Island-Ternate Based on Renewable Energy

Bahrud Kahar$^1$ and Ridho Hantoro$^1$

Abstract—Until now in North Moluccas province especially in the district of Ternate city due to geographical conditions, electrification for the islands outside of Ternate be uneven and cannot meet the overall demand for electricity. As well as Moti Island is one of the sub-districts of Ternate city, the operation of diesel generators was limited at night which hours 18:30 to 23:00 or 4.5 hours/day. The main problem is the high operating cost of diesel generator, especially of the fuel cost, availability and the high cost of spare parts. This study proposes a configuration models of hybrid power generation system on the Moti island between diesel generators and renewable energy as a solution to meet the electricity needs for 24 hours, because the Moti island has an energy of annual average solar radiation is 5.96 kWh/m2/day and annual average wind speed of 3.8 m/s. Calculation and optimized for the potential of renewable energy and configurations models of hybrid power generation system using software HOMER (Hybrid Optimization Model for Electric Renewable). The simulation results provide a configuration models of the optimal hybrid power plant is the first option: PV (50%) + Diesel (50%) configuration with the NPC lowest value is US $1,531,546 and more efficient fuel consumption per year is 47.1% of the fuel consumption on the moti island for this time, And the second option is an alternative configuration: PV (70%) + Diesel (30%) configuration with a NPC value is US $1,577,512 and also more has efficient fuel consumption per year 64.3%.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Ternate city is one of the districts in North Moluccas province, it has five inhabited islands and three other small uninhabited [1]. This geographical conditions led to the spread of electrification for islands inhabited outside the island of Ternate becomes uneven and forced the government to supply diesel generator to the islands for their electricity needs. As well as Moti Island is one of the subdistricts in Ternate city (Fig 1), operation of the diesel generators was limited at night which hours 18:30 to 23:00 or 4.5 hours/day. Diesel generator operation of this limited because of high fuel cost. Increase in the fuel price has an impact on the high operational costs. Besides the maintenance cost of diesel generators very large because availability and the high spare parts cost so that must be in a message to distributors outside the district. That solutions for reducing consumption and dependence on fossil fuels for diesel generator is by owned exploiting renewable energy potential [2].

Moti island rich in sunshine with an average annual radiation of 5.96 kWh/m2/day [3] and also have an average per year wind speed of 3.8 m/s [4]. The potential renewable energy need to be analyzed so that it can be a source of alternative energy for reduce that need fuel oil and switch on the use of renewable energy.

This study was conducted in Moti Island Ternate (Fig 1) by proposing a configuration modeling Hybrid Power generation consisting of diesel generator configuration, renewable energy configuration and combined configuration of diesel generators and renewable energy with a 24 hours operation pattern. Analysis data using software HOMER (Hybrid Optimization Model for Electric Renewable) [5]. This is software will get the system model configurations with a choice of energy sources may be applied in accordance with the availability of the energy potential in the district [6].

The results of modeling system is design configuration hybrid power generation optimum system with output size and capacity of the system, Capital cost, replacement cost, operating and maintenance costs (O & M), costs fuel and fuel consumption, and lifecycle cost or Net Present Cost (NPC) is a minimum during the
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project [7]. This result is also expected to meet the electricity need 24 hours and can reduce fuel oil consumption.

II. METHODS

A. Research Stages

The method used in this study includes three main stages:

Stage I: study of electrical load on Moti island; at this stage in doing site survey to determine electricity condition and create load profile of Moti Island 24 hours.

Stage II: study of solar and wind energy potential on Moti island; at this stage in doing wind speed data collection in BMKG office and daily solar radiation data collection on website of NASA surface meteorology and solar energy by using a password coordinate research sites. This data is then analyzed for eligibility of potential energy potential to be applied on the Moti island.

Stage III: modelling of Hybrid Power generation system; at this stage in doing determination configuration model of hybrid power generation system, then perform simulations using software HOMER (Hybrid Optimization Model for Electric Renewables), with input data that is daily load data, components data of hybrid power generation, renewable energy and diesel fuel data. Simulations also be done theoretically (manual) for validation value of Net Present Cost (NPC) configuration system is applied.

B. Model Configuration of Hybrid Power Generation on Moti Island.

There are five (5) models configuration of hybrid system will be analyzed based on availability energy potential to be applied in Moti island, the percentage of system as follows:

1. Diesel (100%)
2. Photovoltaik; PV (100%)
3. PV (70%) + Diesel (30%)
4. PV (50%) + Diesel (50%)
5. PV (30%) + Diesel (70%)

and scheme configuration model can be seen in Figure 2-4.

C. Capacity and Components cost of Hybrid Power Generation System.

1) Electrical load: Great electrical load can be calculated using equation (1).

\[ E_{\text{Load}} (Wh) = P_{\text{Load}} (Watt) \times t_{\text{Load}} \text{(hour)} \]  

Where; \( E_{\text{Load}} \): load energy; \( P_{\text{Load}} \): load power; and \( t_{\text{Load}} \): time usage load.

2) Capacity of diesel generator:

Diesel generators are used to supply peak load on the Diesel (100%) configuration system and when SOC is low for battery charging on PV (100%) and the combined PV + Diesel configuration system. Capacity of diesel generator is determined using equation (2). [8]

\[ P_{\text{Diesel}} (t) = \frac{E_{\text{Diesel}}(t)}{\eta_{\text{Diesel}}} \times 115\% \]  

Where; \( P_{\text{Diesel}} \): diesel generator capacity (kW); \( E_{\text{Diesel}} \): energy load that must be met generator (kWh); \( \eta_{\text{Diesel}} \): diesel generator efficiency (assuming = 0.9) and the estimated increase capacity by 15%.

3) Capacity of PV:

PV modules used is \( P_{\text{Max}} = 300 \text{ Watt} \) (1956 × 992 × 40 mm) [9]; the capacity of PV array and number of PV modules is determined using equation (3) and (4). [10] [11]

\[ P_{\text{peak}} = \frac{E_{\text{Load}} \times k}{H_0 \times \eta_{\text{PV}} \times \eta_{\text{inv}} \times \eta_{m} \times \eta_{\text{bcu}}} \]  

\[ N_{\text{PV}} = \frac{P_{\text{peak}}}{P_{\text{max-out}}} \]  

Where: \( P_{\text{peak}} \): PV array capacity (kWp); \( P_{\text{max-out}} \): PV module nominal capacity (kWp); \( E_{\text{Load}} \): load energy (kWh); \( N_{\text{PV}} \): number of modules (units). Average daily solar radiation \( (H_0) \) each month (kWh/m²/d); radiation standard \( (I_0) = 1 \text{ kWh/m²} \); Battery efficiency \( (\eta_{\text{b}}) = 0.85 \); Inverter efficiency \( (\eta_{\text{inv}}) = 0.95 \); maching efficiency \( (\eta_{m}) = 1 \); BCU efficiency \( (\eta_{\text{bcu}}) = 0.95 \) and k = 1.1 [12].

4) Capacity of wind turbin;

Power and energy output of wind turbine is determined by equation (5) and (6). [13] [14]

\[ P_{\text{WG}} = \frac{1}{2} \rho A_r C_p V_{\text{W}}^3 \]  

\[ E_{\text{WG}} (t) = P_{\text{WG}} \times t \]  

Where: \( E_{\text{WG}} \): Wind turbine energy output (kWh); \( P_{\text{WG}} \): power output of wind turbine at a given wind speed (kW); \( A_r \) and \( r \): area (m²) and radius of rotor/blade turbine (m); and \( t \): duration time of wind speed; (1 day = 24 hours; 1 year = 8760 hours). Assuming value of \( C_p \) Turbine diameter 2 = 0.24 and value of air density \( (\rho) = 1.2 \text{ kg/m}^3 \).

5) Capacity battery:

Type of battery in this study use Vision 6FM200F, nominal 12 V; 200 Ah or 2.4 kWh/units [15]. assumptions; Days of outonomy: 4 days; \( DOD_{\text{max}} \) = 0.75; battery efficiency: 0.85. Battery capacity and number of battery specified by equation (7) and (8). [16]

\[ \text{Kapasitas battery (Ah)} = \frac{E_{\text{Load}} \times \text{Days of outonomy}}{\text{DOD}_{\text{max}} \times \eta_{\text{bat}} \times V_{\text{bat}} \times \eta_{\text{bcu}}} \]  

\[ N_{\text{bat}} = \frac{\text{Kapasitas battery (Ah)}}{\text{Kapasitas battery nominal (Ah)}} \]  

6) Capacity of inverter:

Capacity bidirectional converter (inverter) in every configuration is determined accordance with peak load \( (P_{\text{peak-load}}) \) and consider addition is 15% of capacity serve. (Efficiency inverter = 0.95). Inverter capacity is determined by the equation (9). [17]

\[ P_{\text{inv}} = \frac{P_{\text{peak-load}} \times 115\%}{\eta_{\text{inv}}} \]  

For cost of component system can be seen in table 1.

D. HOMER Simulation.

HOMER determines all system configurations may be applied, then displayed sequentially according to Net Presents Costs (NPC) or also called Life Cycle Costs. HOMER also simulates the operation of the system by providing the calculation of the Energy Balance for every 8,760 hours in a year. If a sensitivity analysis is required, HOMER will repeat the simulation process for
each variable sensitivity [21]. Relative error of about 3% [23]. Figure 5 shows architecture HOMER with three main parts: input, simulation and output.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Electricity Condition on Moti Island

Of the six villages were installed diesel generator, there are two units diesel generator that have been damaged namely in Tafaga village with a capacity of 30 kW and Guramadehe hamlet in Figur villages with a capacity of 10 kW. While diesel generator is still operating in the Takofi village with a capacity of 30 kW, Figure village of 30 kW, 48 kW of Tafamumu village, Kota Moti village of 150 kW, 30 kW of Tadenas village and nanas hamlet of 5 kW. Hours of operation diesel generator is 4.5 hours/day.

The number of house use electric service as 752 homes and the number of house is not electrified of 35 homes. To use personal generators, there are 5 generator unit that it is connected to the customer’s home and for own use many as 215 units of generators with a capacity of 0.9 to 3 kW.

From the survey results also known to consume large are fuel type Solar for diesel generators on Moti island is 100,794 Lters/year, fuel costs amounted IDR 1,007,940,000/year or US$ 71,995.71/year; and maintenance cost of diesel generator is IDR 58,538,333/year.

B. Load profile

Load profile estimation of electricity on Moti island to 988 houses, 10 mosques, 8 Musallah/TPA/TPQ, 6 puskesmas or polindes, 6 village office, 16 schools, street lighting in six villages and four carpentry home see Figure 6. This profile is designed to meet the electrical energy in Moti Island with a 24-hour operation pattern.

From the calculation using equation (1) obtained total daily load energy in Moti Island at 768.99 ± 770 kWh/day and peak load of 105.19 kW.

HOMER simulation results by using a profile in Figure 6 obtained an average daily load energy in a year of 763 kWh/day, so that total energy of electrical load to be met on Moti island in a year amounted to:

Average load energy per year = 763 kWh/day x 365 days = ± 278 495 kWh/year.

C. Wind Energy Potential

Wind energy potential in Moti Island generally are not large, wind speeds of frequency large in the speed range from 1.5 - 3.5 m/s, with duration namely of 32-48 days/year [4]. Figure 7 shows the wind speed frequency that occurred during the year 2011 - 2014 on Moti island.

Wind speed frequency data of Moti island is then used to determine amount of energy production per year in use wind turbines.

Calculation result of average energy output for a capacity wind turbines of 500 Watt and 1 kW using equation (5) and (6) is; a wind turbine capacity 500 Watt of 399 kWh/year and 1 kW at 1169 kWh/year, so as to meet the energy load (maximum) Moti Island of 770 kWh/day or 280,680.6 kWh/year, it takes wind turbines of 500 kW many as 704 units and 1 kW as 240 units.

Of the number of wind turbines needed will require a huge investment, with these considerations it can be yearly and monthly Relative error of about 10% [22] concluded order to meet the needs of electrical load on Moti island are not potential for wind turbines application.

D. Solar Energy Potential

Average daily solar radiation in Moti island of 5.96 kWh/m²/day, with largest solar radiation of 6.32 kWh/m²/day, and the lowest was 5.42 kWh/m²/day [3].

Figure 8 shows the monthly solar radiation on Moti island by NASA database SMSE (Surface Meteorology and Solar Energy) at Moti island coordinates (DD): N:0.456773; E:127.410746; with time zone (GMT+07:00).

\[ E_{el} = \frac{P_{max-out} \times H_0 \times \eta_h \times \eta_{inv} \times \eta_{mc} \times \eta_{bcu}}{k \times l_0} \]  

(10)

Where: \( E_{el} \): output electrical energy from PV (kWh); \( P_{max-out} \): nominal capacity module PV (kWp).

By using equation (10), the capacity of 1 kWp PV generating electrical energy is 6238.13 kWh/year.

To meet energy load needs on Moti island of 770 kWh/day, then using equation (3) and (4), capacity PV large needed is equal to 204 kWp. If using solar modules with a capacity \( P_{max} = 300 \text{ Watt} \) then much required modules as 680 pieces with an array area of 1319.44 m².

Thus solar energy potential on Moti island terms of compliance burden and vast array area can qualify for photovoltaic application.

E. Comparison Results Hybrid System Configuration

From simulations using software HOMER obtained fifth comparison output parameter hybrid system configuration can be seen in table 2-3 and Figure 9-14.

In Figure 9 of all configuration system shows of Consumption AC primary load amounted to 278,494 kWh/year, and capacity shortage value is 0 (zero) kWh/year, so that supply electrical energy on the Moti island of ± 278,495 kWh/year otherwise met.

The comparison results of energy production and excess electricity configuration system can be seen in Figure 9 and Table 3, comparison results of capital cost and net present cost (NPC) system can be seen in Figure 10 and 11, comparison results of fuel consumption, fuel cost and cost of electric (COE) can be seen in Figure 12-13.

In addition to simulation performed using software HOMER, theoretical calculations (manual) also done calculation results NPC system value as a validation. Comparison theoretical calculation result of value NPC (manual) and value NPC using HOMER software on every configuration system there is a difference of 4.38 - 9.27% with details is; Diesel(100%) configuration of 4.42%, PV(100%) configuration amounted to 5.61%, PV(70%) + Diesel(30%) configuration amounted to 9.27%, PV(50%) + Diesel(50%) configuration amounted to 4.38%, and the PV(30%) + Diesel(70%) configuration amounted to 8.56%. This percentage value can be said to be valid because it is below 10% monthly relative error values on calculations using HOMER software.

F. The Best Configuration System

From the comparison result configuration system parameter has been done, the optimal configuration system is determined based on the Net Present Cost
value (NPC) lowest and can meet needs of load on the Moti island. The optimal configuration system results is PV(50%) + Diesel(50%) configuration as the first option and alternative second option is PV(70%) + Diesel (30%) configuration. Analysis of the two models configuration following:

1) PV(50%) + Diesel(50%) Configuration

The Main Capacity Components System; PV array Capacity of 102 kWp with a number of modules 340 units, the extensive array of 660 m2 (@ 300 Wp/module; 1.956 × 0.992 m2); Diesel Generator Capacity 120 kW; 213,255.4 Ah battery capacity; 1,067 units of battery (12V; 200 Ah); and the capacity converter of 130 kW.

Details of Net Present Cost; Figure 15 shows is cash flow of PV(50%) + Diesel (50%) configuration system for 25 years. If looked at details, the largest expenditure in early is project to US$ 568,530, or 37.1% of total NPC's procurement components system, then the routine expenditure per year is operations cost for all major components system: PV of $ 102/year , diesel generators at $ 2,278/year, Battery of $ 106/year, and a converter for $ 1,300/year, fuel cost of diesel amounted to $ 42,626/year, maintenance cost and components replacement of PV in the 20th year of $ 123,828; diesel generators in the 11th and 21st for $ 12,960. Battery replacement every 7 years that is in the 7th, 14th and 21st year amounted to $ 351,469, and replacement converter in its 15th year amounted to $ 35,802.

There is a salvage of the components system at the end of the project boundary that is the salvage for PV of $ 92,871; diesel generators at $ 7,344; the salvage of $ 100,878 for battery; and for converter of $ 11,934.

Figure 16 result shows of annual electric energy produced output by PV meet needs of the load with effective operation of PV is 4,387 hours/year, and the total electrical energy production 157,065 kWh/year. COE operating hours in a year is 1,095 hours/year with total electrical energy production 130,202; diesel generators at $ 1,890; the salvage of $ 12,960. Battery of $ 133/year, and a converter of $ 11,934.

2) PV(70%) + Diesel (30%) Configuration

The Main Capacity Components System; PV array Capacity of 143 kWp with a number of modules 476 units, the extensive array of 924 m2 (@ 300 Wp/module; 1.956 × 0.992 m2); Diesel Generator Capacity 100 kW; 266,535.9 Ah battery capacity; 1,333 units of battery (12V; 200 Ah); and the capacity converter of 130 kW.

Details of Net Present Cost; Figure 18 shows is cash flow of PV(70%) + Diesel (30%) configuration system for 25 years. If looked at details, the largest expenditure in early is project to US$ 568,530, or 45.2% of total NPC's procurement components system, then the routine expenditure per year is operations cost for all major components system: PV of $ 143/year , diesel generators at $ 1,424/year, Battery of $ 133/year, and a converter for $ 1,300/year, fuel cost of diesel amounted to $ 28,754/year, maintenance cost and components replacement of PV in the 20th year of $ 173,602; diesel generators in the 14th for $ 10,800. Battery replacement that in the 8th, 15th and 22nd year amounted to $ 439,090 and replacement converter in its 15th year amounted to $ 35,802.

There is a salvage of the components system at the end of the project boundary that is the salvage for PV of $ 130,202; diesel generators at $ 1,890; the salvage of $ 216,208 for battery; and for converter of $ 11,934.

Figure 19 result shows of annual electric energy produced output by PV meet needs of the load with effective operation of PV is 4,387 hours/year, and the total electrical energy production 248,333 kWh/year. Figure 20 shows energy output of electricity by diesel generators to supply peak load. Diesel generator operating hours in a year is 1,095 hours/year with total electrical energy production of 108,732 kWh/year. COE of PV(50%) + Diesel(50%) configuration of $ 0.508/kWh or IDR 7,112/kWh. If the user load per house on Moti island is 1.6 kWh/day, then electricity cost per month to be paid IDR 341,376.

CONCLUSION

Based on calculations and data analysis it could be concluded as follows:
1. The need electricity on the Moti island overall unmet because operating time a diesel generator 4.5 hours/day with use of diesel fuel is 100,798 L/year.
2. The potential for renewable energy on Moti island as a source electrical is the energy of solar radiation with value average daily per year as 5.96 kWh/m2/day.
3. The first option for configuration model of Hybrid power generation to apply in Moti island is PV(50%) + Diesel(50%) configuration system with a NPC value of US$ 1,531,546 (± IDR 21.4 Billion; exchange IDR 14,000/$), which consists a PV capacity of 102 kWp, number of PV modules as 340 units and large PV arrays 660 m2, 1 unit diesel generator with a capacity of 120 kW; 1,067 units of battery (12V; 200 Ah) and the capacity of converter 130 kW, can meet needs of the electrical load on Moti island amounted to 278 495 kWh/year. And the 2nd option of alternative model configurations is PV(70%) + Diesel(30%) configuration system with a NPC value of US$ 1,577,512 (± IDR 22.1 Billion), which consists a PV capacity of 143 kWp with the number of module is 476 units and a broad array of 924 m2, 1 units diesel generator with a capacity of 100 kW, 1,333 units of battery (12V; 200 Ah), and the converter capacity of 130 kW.
4. The selling price of electricity for the first and second configuration model is larger than the basic electricity tariff by PT. PLN but the 2nd option model configuration less 42% of the kWh price Diesel (100%) configuration system which is a popular choice in the village and more efficient in use 64.3% of diesel fuel per year when compared with use of diesel fuel is currently at Moti Island operation pattern generator diesel 4.5 hours/day.
5. Validation results configuration system for NPC value using theoretical calculations (manual) on calculations results using HOMER software there is a difference of 4.38 to 9.27%; is said to be valid because it is under values 10% monthly relative error (relative error) of HOMER software calculation.
REFERENCES


Figure 5. Architecture Simulation and Optimization HOMER [21].

Figure 6. Load Profile of Moti Island.

Figure 7. Wind Speed Frequency in Moti Island for year 2011-2014 [4].

Figure 8. Monthly solar radiation on Moti island [3].

Figure 9. Comparison of Electric Production, Consumption AC primary load, and Capacity shortage.

Figure 10. Comparison Capital Cost Configuration System.

Figure 11. Comparison Net Present Cost Configuration System.

Figure 12. Comparison Fuel Consumption.

Figure 13. Comparison Fuel Cost.

Figure 14. Comparison COE.
Figure 15. Cash flow of PV(50%) + Diesel(50%) configuration system for 25 year.

Figure 16. Annual electric produced output by PV for PV(50%) + Diesel(50%) configuration system.

Figure 17. Annual electric produced output by Diesel for PV(50%) + Diesel(50%) configuration system.

Figure 18. Cash flow of PV(70%) + Diesel(30%) configuration system for 25 year.

Figure 19. Annual electric produced output by PV for PV(70%) + Diesel(30%) configuration system.

Figure 20. Annual electric produced output by Diesel for PV(70%) + Diesel(30%) configuration system.

TABLE 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Capital Cost</th>
<th>Replacement cost</th>
<th>O &amp; M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gen Diesel</td>
<td>US$ 120/kW</td>
<td>90% capital cost</td>
<td>US$ 1.3 – 1.8/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photovoltaic</td>
<td>US$ 1,214/kW</td>
<td>100% capital cost</td>
<td>US$ 1/kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Converter</td>
<td>US$ 306/kW</td>
<td>90% capital cost</td>
<td>US$ 10/kW/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery</td>
<td>US$ 366/2.4 kWh [15]</td>
<td>90% capital cost</td>
<td>US$ 0.1/unit/yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kurs of Rupiahs: US$ 1 = IDR 14,000
Fuel price = IDR 10,000/Litre = $ 0.8/Litre (Survey, 2014)
Interest rate = 7.5%

TABLE 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Optimal capacity of Component System</th>
<th>PV (kWp)</th>
<th>Diesel (kW)</th>
<th>Battery (unit)</th>
<th>Converter (kW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diesel(100%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV (100%)</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV(70%) + Diesel(30%)</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV(50%) + Diesel(50%)</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1067</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV(30%) + Diesel(70%)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

description: 1 unit battery = 2.4 kWh (12V;200Ah)

TABLE 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excess Electricity Configuration System</th>
<th>Excess electricity (kWh/yr)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diesel (100%)</td>
<td>270,308</td>
<td>49.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV (100%)</td>
<td>52,141</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV (70%) + Diesel (30%)</td>
<td>29,824</td>
<td>8.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV (50%) + Diesel (50%)</td>
<td>10,672</td>
<td>3.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV (30%) + Diesel (70%)</td>
<td>43,658</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>