Formulation Stategies for Vocational High School in Surabaya (Case Study: SMKS "X")

Sucipto Limbong¹ and I Putu Artama Wiguna²

¹Department of Technology Management, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya ²Department of of Industrial and System Engineering, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya *e-mail*: limbongsucipto@gmail.com

Abstract—This research focuses on strategic planning conducted at one of the private vocational high schools in Surabaya. Vocational school is one of the services in the education sector that aims to prepare students to work in specific fields. At this time, the number of students enrolling has decreased, so the need for proper strategic planning for schools in increasing the number of students. The method used in this study is the formulation of internal and external factors using the IFE and EFE matrices as the input stage and followed by determining the weights for each factor using pair comparison. In the next stage, this study uses the SWOT matrix as a strategy matching stage. The results showed the value of the IFE matrix. Schools had a total rating of 2.5, while the scores on the EFE matrix, schools had a total rating of 2.878. Based on an analysis of internal and external factors using the SWOT matrix, several alternative strategies can be obtained including Development of New Departments; Establish relationships with junior high schools in the same institution; Development of Learning Processes; Increased School Promotion; Maximizing BOS Funds; Collaboration with DU / DI; School Resource Development; School Culture Development and Program School Improvement; Improved School Performance and Quality. For the design of the strategy map obtained from four perspectives, including: (a) Financial Perspectives: Regular Provision of Budgets, Income Levels, Utilization of BOS Funds and School Imagery; (b) Customer Perspective: Number of Students, Customer Satisfaction, and School Quality; (c) Internal Business Perspectives: Marketing Development, Innovation, Process, After Sales Service, and Relationship Enhancement with DU / DI; (d) Learning and Growth Perspectives: Teacher / Staff Satisfaction, School Financial Management Development, Information System Capability, Teacher / Staff Development, and School Culture Development.

Keywords—Vocational School, IFE Matrix, EFE Matrix, SWOT Matrix, Pairwise Comparison, Strategy Formulation, Strategy Map.

I. INTRODUCTION

EDUCATION is a conscious and planned effort to create an atmosphere of learning and learning process so that students actively develop their potential to have religiousspiritual strength, self-control, personality, intelligence, noble character, and the skills needed by themselves, society, nation, and state (UU No. 20 of 2003). In the development of education in 2018 based on the Educational Index, Indonesia has a value of 0.622, ranked 7th in the ASEAN region. However, Indonesia's Educational Index value growth increased by 7% from 2010, amounting to 0.586 [1].

Following the mandate of UUD 1954 article 31 for the education sector, the government has the aim of ensuring the quality of inclusive and equitable education and increasing

lifelong learning opportunities for all. Therefore, the government seeks to increase access to education services in the context of optimizing quality and competitive education services. Also, efforts to improve human resources (HR) are carried out by adding educational facilities, namely vocational schools, which are expected to produce graduates who are ready to work because they are better trained with technical work under their respective fields of interest [2].

The organizers of education are not only from the government, but also involve the community component (private) in accordance with UU 20 of 2003. Community involvement in organizing education, especially vocational schools have a positive impact on the growth of vocational schools (Figure 1) [2]. The role of the government also has a positive influence on the growth of vocational schools, where the government provides bureaucratic facilitation for licensing for opening new vocational schools, especially for districts that do not yet have vocational schools. [2].

"X" PRIVATE VOCATIONAL SCHOOL is one of the providers of education for vocational schools, which was established in 1987. The vision of this school is to educate, train, improve, and develop technology for the productivity of students to become skilled and work-ready personnel based on faith and loyalty as well as a sense of love for the country and the nation, with a motto that is ready to work, polite, independent, creative. At present, the school status has a value of Accreditation B (Good) with programs for the field of Technology and Engineering expertise. It has a study group of 1 for each expertise program.

At present, the school has a number of grade X in the field of Technology and Engineering expertise, specifically the Engineering and Automotive majors, at 1.1% of the total 35 vocational high schools that have similar majors in Surabaya. This school has students who are dominated by male students without female students, with an age range of 16 to 19 years.

Also, the current school operational finance has decreased; this is due to the number of new students has reduced compared to the previous year. This resulted in schools not getting school income because school income was used up until they were used up for school operations. In the new student admission program (PPDB), the number of students enrolling in schools decreased by 29.4% where each number of students enrolled in two skills programs.

The strategy is needed in the competition of educational institutions; this is caused by competition between educational institutions, which is getting tougher. Even though commercialization in the field of education is indeed not right, but professional management needs to be done, International Conference on Management of Technology, Innovation, and Project (MOTIP) 2020 July 25th 2020, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia



Figure 1. Growth Chart for Vocational High Schools in Indonesia.



			RI tab	oles by Saat	у					
n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Random Consistency Index (R.I)	0	0	0.52	0.89	1.11	1.25	1.35	1.40	1.45	1.49

unless the institution only wants to survive without motivation to develop and tends to surrender [3].

The formulation of these strategic alternatives can be done by analyzing the environment, both internal and external. Dominant factors that can affect the competitiveness of an educational institution include location, value excellence, and community needs [3]. From the determination of these factors, a SWOT (Strengths Weaknesses Opportunities Threats) matrix is then analyzed to see strengths, weaknesses to internal factors and opportunities, and threats from external factors. Therefore, the need for an appropriate strategy formulation can help the school principal in managing the school so that it can compete with other schools. The main target to be achieved by schools in the future is an increase in the number of new students enrolled in schools. Therefore, the need for an appropriate strategy formulation can help the principal in managing the school.

II. METHOD

A. Educational Services

According to UU No. 20 of 2003, the definition of education is a conscious and planned effort to create an atmosphere of learning and learning process so that students actively develop their potentials, i.e., spiritual strength, self-control, personality, intelligence, noble character.

In Indonesia, according to UU No. 20 of 2003, education is divided into three channels, including formal education, informal education, and non-formal education. Formal education is a structured and tiered education pathway consisting of primary education, secondary education, and higher education. Non-formal education is an educational path outside of formal education that can be implemented in a structured and tiered manner, which aims to develop students' abilities. Non-formal education is a path of family and environmental education.

Service is any action or performance from one party that can be offered to another party, which is intangible and does not result in any ownership [4]. Another definition of services, services are described as actions, processes, and performance. Services can be more defined as economic activities that create added value and provide benefits to customers (consumers or organizations) [5].

Services are the center of economic activity in any community. Infrastructure services, such as transportation and communication, are essential links between all sectors of the economy, including end consumers. In a complex economy, infrastructure and distribution services function as intermediaries and as distribution channels to end consumers. Infrastructure services are a prerequisite for the economy to become an industry; therefore, no developed society can do

International Conference on Management of Technology, Innovation, and Project (MOTIP) 2020 July 25th 2020, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia

	W	eight Strengths and We	aknesses of Schools		
Internal	Internal Weight	Code	Weight	Total Weight	CR
Strength	0,5	S1	0.156	0.078	0.0959
		S2	0.233	0.117	
		S 3	0.145	0.073	
		S4	0.157	0.078	
		S5	0.157	0.078	
		S6	0.152	0.076	
Weakness	0,5	W1	0.124	0.062	0.0784
		W2	0.234	0.117	
		W3	0.224	0.112	
		W4	0.196	0.098	
		W5	0.068	0.034	
		W6	0.154	0.077	
			Total =	1,000	
	W	Table 3 Veight of School Opport	unities and Threats		
External	External Weight	Code	Weight	Total Weight	CR
Opportunity	0.75	01	0.302	0.226	0.084
Opportunity	0.75	02	0.054	0.040	0.004
		03	0.072	0.054	
		04	0.089	0.067	
		05	0.287	0.215	
		06	0.197	0.148	
Threat	0.25	T1	0.036	0.009	0.099
		T2	0.045	0.011	
		Т3	0.181	0.045	
		T4	0.248	0.062	
		T5	0.225	0.056	
		T6	0.265	0.066	
		Tot	al	1.000	
		Table 4			
			riv.		
	tornal Factors	IFE Mat	ink	Dating	Tota
Int	ternal Factors	IFE Mati	ght	Rating	Tota
Int	ternal Factors S1	IFE Mat Wei 0.0	ght 78	Rating 3	Tota 0.234
In	ternal Factors S1 S2 S3	IFE Mat Wei 0.0 0.1 0.0	ght 78 17 73	Rating 3 3	Tota 0.234 0.350 0.215
In	ternal Factors S1 S2 S3 S4	IFE Mat Wei 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0	ght 78 17 73 78	Rating 3 3 3 3	Tota 0.234 0.350 0.218 0.234
In	ternal Factors S1 S2 S3 S4 S5	IFE Mat Wei 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	ght 78 17 73 78 78	Rating 3 3 3 3 3 3	Tota 0.23 ⁴ 0.350 0.218 0.235
In	ternal Factors S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S6	IFE Mat Wei 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	ght 78 17 73 78 78 76	Rating 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Tota 0.23 0.35(0.218 0.23 0.23 0.23
In	ternal Factors S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S6 W1	IFE Mat Wei 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	ght 78 17 73 78 78 76 62	Rating 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2	Tota 0.23 0.35(0.211 0.23 0.23 0.23 0.223
In	ternal Factors S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S6 W1 W2	IFE Mat Wei 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	ght 78 17 73 78 78 76 62 17	Rating 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 2 2	Tota 0.23 0.35(0.211 0.23 0.23 0.223 0.225 0.224 0.224
In	ternal Factors S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S6 W1 W2 W3	IFE Mat Wei 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1	ght 78 17 73 78 78 76 62 17 12	Rating 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Tota 0.23 0.35(0.211 0.23 0.23 0.23 0.22 0.124 0.23 0.23
In	ternal Factors S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S6 W1 W2 W3 W4	IFE Mat Wei 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	ght 78 17 73 78 78 76 62 17 12 98	Rating 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Tota 0.234 0.356 0.211 0.233 0.233 0.224 0.124 0.234 0.224 0.224 0.224
In	ternal Factors S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S6 W1 W2 W3 W4 W5	IFE Mat Wei 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.0	ght 78 77 73 78 78 78 76 62 17 12 99 8 34	Rating 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Tota 0.234 0.355 0.218 0.235 0.235 0.226 0.124 0.234 0.224 0.224 0.296 0.0196 0.065
In	ternal Factors S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S6 W1 W2 W3 W4 W5 W6	IFE Mat Wei 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.0	ght 78 77 73 78 78 76 62 17 12 98 34 77	Rating 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Tota 0.234 0.355 0.211 0.235 0.225 0.124 0.124 0.234 0.224 0.196 0.066 0.155

without this service [6]. Role service in economic activity can see Figure 2 [6].

B. Strategy Management

Strategy management is the art and science of formulating, implementing, and evaluating cross-functional decisions that enable an organization to achieve its objectives. The focus of strategic management is to integrate management, marketing, finance and accounting, production and operations, research and development (R&D), and information systems to achieve organizational success. Strategic management aims to find and create new and different opportunities in the future, namely long-range planning, and try to optimize future trends based on current trends [7].

1) IFE Matrix

In conducting an internal strategic management audit, it can be done by constructing an Internal Factor Evaluation Matrix (IFE). This IFE matrix can be made with five steps: (1) Making a list of critical internal factors; (2) Determine weights from 0.0 (not necessary) to 1.0 (all-important) for each element; (3) Ranks 1 to 4 are given for each factor that indicates whether those factors represent the main weakness (rank = 1), small weakness (rank = 2), small strength (rank = 3), and major strength (rank = 4). ; (4) The weight of each factor is multiplied by its ranking to determine the weighted score for each variable; (5) The weighted score in the organization [7].

2) EFE Matrix

An external factor evaluation (EFE) matrix enables strategists to summarize and evaluate economic, social, cultural, demographic, environmental, political, government, legal, technological, and competitive information. The EFE matrix can be developed in five steps: (1) Make a list of key

International Conference on Management of Technology, Innovation, and Project (MOTIP) 2020

July 25th 2020, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia

						Tab EFE M	le 5. Aatrix							
	Ex	ternal Fa	ctors			Weight					Rating		Total	
		01				0.226					3		0.678	
		02				0.040					3		0.121	
		O3 0.054							3		0.162			
		O4 0.			0.067				3		0.200			
		05				0.215					3		0.645	
		06			0.148					3		0.443		
		T1				0.009				3			0.027	
	T2					0.011					3		0.034	
	Т3					0.045					3		0.136	
	T4					0.062					3		0.186	
		15				0.056					2		0.112	
		16				0.066					2		0.132	
					-	1.000							2.8/8	
						Tab	le 6.							
SWOT			<u><u> </u></u>			SWOI	Matix				XX7 1			
SWU1 Matrix	61	62	Stren	gth	67	66	\$\$7.1	11/2		11/2	Weakness		W	XV/7
Matrix	<u>51</u> 01	52	33	54	33	50	wi	<u>W2</u>		W3	W4	w5	wo	W /
ty		Strat	tegy (SO)				S	trategy (V	WO)					
Ē	02	1 (51) (52) (54) (55) (56) (02) (04) (05) (06)					1	1.(W2)(U4)(U5)						
ort	04	Development of New Departments					⁽⁰⁾ Ir	Increased School Promotion						
dd	05		1		1		2	(W2) (W		1) (02)	\ \			
0	06	2. (Sž	2) (S5) (Se	6) (01) (03) (04)	(06)	2 N	(w5)(w laximizing	σ BOS	S Funds)			
		Estat in the	olish relations	onships v	with junio	r high schoo	ols	u	5 001	o i unuc				
		in the	o sume mo	itution										
		3. (S4	4) (S6) (O	4) ST :	P									
		Deve	elopment o	I Learni	ng Proces	ses								
Ħ	T1	Strat	tegy (ST)				S	trategy (V	WT)					
Irea	T2	1. (S	1) (S4) (T5	5)			1	(W6) (W	/7) (T4	4)				
4T	T3	Colla	boration v	vith DU	/ DI		S	chool Res	source	Develo	opment.			
	T4						2	(W1) (W	(T)	•				
	T5						2	(WI)(W shool Cult	(/) (Ι. Itura Γ	2) Javalon	ment and S	phool Prog	rom	
	T6						I	nproveme	ent.	evelop	ment and St		14111	
							3	(W1) (W	/2) (W	/3) (W:	5) (W6) (W7	7) (T2) (T3) (T6)	
							In	nproved S	School	Perfor	mance and	Quality	//	

external key factors as identified in the internal audit process; (2) Give weight to each factor, from the weight value of 0.0 (not important) to 1.0 (very important); (3). Ranks 1 to 4 for each key external factor to indicate how effective the company's current strategy is to respond to that factor; (4) Each factor weight multiplied by its ranking will determine the weighted score. (5) Summing the weighted score on each variable in determining the total weighted score for the organization [7].

3) SWOT Matrix

The SWOT matrix is an essential matching technique to help managers develop four types of strategies, including Strength-opportunity (SO) strategy, weakness-opportunity strategy (WO), strength-threat strategy (ST), and weaknessthreat strategy (WT) [7].

C. Pairwise Comparison AHP

Pairwise Comparison can be made with the steps, among others: (1) A problem is broken down into a hierarchy of objectives, criteria, sub-criteria, and alternatives; (2) Data is collected from experts or decision-makers according to the hierarchical structure; (3) Pairwise comparisons of the various criteria produced according to the second step which are then arranged into a square matrix; (4) The main eigenvalues and the corresponding normalization of the related eigenvectors of the comparison matrix give the relative importance of the various criteria being compared. (5) Conduct hierarchical consistency checks. The consistency index, CI, is calculated by CI = $(\lambda max-n) / (n-1)$. Where λ max is the maximum eigenvalue of the assessment matrix. The value of the consistency ratio (CR) = CI / RI, for the RI table can be seen in Table 1 [9]. Saaty recommends that the CR value be less than 0.1 [8].

D. Strategy Map

Pairwise Comparison can be made with the steps, among others: (1) A problem is broken down into a hierarchy of objectives, criteria, sub-criteria, and alternatives; (2) Data is collected from experts or decision-makers according to the hierarchical structure; (3) Pairwise comparisons of the various criteria produced according to the second step which is then arranged into a square matrix; (4) The main eigenvalues and the corresponding normalization of the related eigenvectors of the comparison matrix give the relative importance of the various criteria being compared.

International Conference on Management of Technology, Innovation, and Project (MOTIP) 2020 July 25th 2020, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia

	Design of the Ba	lanced Scorecard						
Perspective	Dimension	Measured aspects						
		Economical						
	Provision of Budget Routinely	Efficiency						
Financial Parsportivo		Effectiveness						
Financial I el spective	Revenue Optimization	Increased school income per student						
	Investment Utilization	Return on investment (ROI)						
	School Image	School Imagery Level						
Customer Perspective	Number of Students	Increasing the Number of Students						
		Quality of Service						
	Customer satisfaction	Customer Satisfaction Level (Parents and						
		Students)						
	School Quality	Graduate competence standard						
	Development Montratin e	Evaluation of promotional performance carried out						
Internal Dusiness	Development Warketing	Evaluate the use of promotional media						
Design and Street	Innovation	Content Standards						
Perspectives	D	Process Standards						
	Process	Educator and Education Staff Standards						
Perspective	Dimension	Measured aspects						
		Facilities and Infrastructure Standards						
		Educational Assessment Standards						
		Management Standards						
		School Website						
	After Sales Service	Alumni Organization						
		Alumni search data						
	Improvement of DU / DI Polationship	Evaluation of the implementation of Internship						
	Improvement of DO / DI Relationship	School collaboration level with DU / DI						
Learning and Growth Perspectives	Taashar / Staff Satisfaction	Job Satisfaction Level						
	Teacher / Start Satisfaction	Financing Standards						
	School Financial	Ability Loval						
	Management Development	Addiny Level						
	Information System	The level of implementation of development and						
	Capability	training for Teachers / Staff						
	Teacher / Staff Development	Development of school culture						

Table 7

(5) Conduct hierarchical consistency checks. The consistency index, CI, is calculated by CI = $(\lambda max-n) / (n-1)$. Where λ max is the maximum eigenvalue of the assessment matrix. The value of the consistency ratio (CR) = CI / RI, for the RI table can be seen in Table 1. Saaty recommends that the CR value be less than 0.1 [10].

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. The Weighting of Internal and External Factors in School

In the IFE Matrix, the weighting is done on the Strengths and Weaknesses of the Internal factors. Likewise, in the EFE Matrix, a weighting is also given to the Opportunity and Threat factor. This weight calculation is done using pairwise comparison Saaty with the AHP method approach. The preparation of the AHP framework for internal and external factors is done by filling out a questionnaire sourced from the principal as the school manager.

Validation in the calculation of internal weights by calculating the value of the consistency of opinions from the results of the questionnaire using the CR value must be smaller than 0.1. In Table 2, it can be seen that CR on the Strength and Internal factors also has a CR value lower than 0.1, which means that the answers from the sources are consistent. Internal weight calculation is done using the help of Super Decision software.

Validation in the calculation of external weights is also carried out by calculating the value of the consistency of opinion from the results of the questionnaire by using a CR value that must be less than 0.1. In Table 3, it can be seen that CR on the Opportunity and Threat factors has a CR value of less than 0.1, which means the answers from the informants are consistent. Weight calculation in the external environment also uses the help of Super Decision software.

B. IFE Matrix Discussions

In the IFE matrix calculation, the total value in the matrix calculation is at one which is the lowest value, and 4 is the highest value, with an average value of 2.5. If the number of matrix values below 2.5 characterizes, the organization is weak internally; however, if the value is above 2.5 indicates that the organization has a robust internal position [7]. From the calculation of the IFE matrix, in Table 4, it can be seen that the total value of the IFE matrix is 2.5, this shows that the internal position in school organizations has average strength. So, schools need improvements in school operations, strategies, policies, and procedures.

C. EFE Matrix Discussions

In the EFE matrix calculation, the highest total value on the matrix is four and the lowest is 1, where the average total value of the matrix is 2.5. If the total value is 4, this shows that an organization can respond in an extraordinary way to the opportunities and threats that exist in its industry. While Jila has a value of 1, this shows that the organization's strategy does not take advantage of opportunities or avoid threats from the external environment [7]. In the calculation of the results of this EFE matrix (Table 5), It can be seen that the total value of the EFE matrix is 2,878, this shows that

International Conference on Management of Technology, Innovation, and Project (MOTIP) 2020 July 25th 2020, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia



schools have above-average ability to take advantage of opportunities and avoid threats in the school environment. Even so, schools should make changes to deal with the threat to the fact that there is no DU / DI collaboration and measurement of parent and student satisfaction has not been done.

D. SWOT Matrix

The SWOT matrix matching uses all four factors that are school conditions. A right decision from the principal is needed for the development of this matrix. From the results of in-depth discussions with the school principal, the development of alternative strategies was found in accordance with Table 6.

E. Strategy Mapping and KPI School Planning

The format for developing school strategies consists of 2 areas, namely: core areas and support areas. Where the details of these two areas are as follows: (1) Core Area, consisting of curriculum and curriculum management; human resources; student welfare and caring guidance; relations between communities; (2) Supporting Areas include of: management structure; financial resources; data collection on students and marketing, physical/facility resources; monitoring and evaluation [11].

From Table 7, we can see the formulation of the BSC dimensions obtained from 4 perspectives. The design of the

strategy map was carried out with the BSC approach using using previous research, which is then adjusted to school conditions and the formulation of alternative strategies obtained [12] [13] [14] [15], which were then adjusted to school conditions and formulation of alternative strategies obtained. This strategy map is designed to look at the picture between the aspects in each perspective, which makes it easier for schools to improve school performance and the determination of school targets in the future. The recommended strategy map obtained can be seen in Table 8.

F. Managerial Implementation

In the internal factors of the school, the internal position in the school organization shows an average strength. So schools need improvements in school operations, strategies, policies, and procedures. Unlike the external factors of the school, the position of the school has an above-average ability to take advantage of opportunities and avoid threats in the school environment. Even so, schools should make changes to deal with the risk to the fact that there is no DU / DI collaboration and measurement of parent and student satisfaction has not been done.

In school competition, there are three strategies obtained to improve school competitiveness, including: (1) New Department Development Strategy, this is done to get the attention of students for female gender to register in this *International Conference on Management of Technology, Innovation, and Project (MOTIP) 2020* July 25th 2020, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia

department. Nonetheless, schools must determine the right promotion, learn major factors the market and develop school resources; (2) Relationship strategies with junior high schools at the same foundation, this strategy provides its strength for schools to increase the number of new students. Excellent communication and good promotion can increase the number of students who register; (3) Learning Process Development Strategy, this is done to answer the challenges of the changing times. Teachers should be creative and innovative in the process of learning activities. This is beneficial for schools to improve the quality of schools and improve the image of schools.

Schools can develop management using SBM. This can be beneficial for schools including schools can improve teacher welfare; schools can manage resources and can manage community participation and encourage the professionalism of principals in leading schools; teachers in various fields can make innovations to students; schools have a sense of responsiveness to guarantee educational services that are in line with the demands of the community [15].

To improve school performance (KPI), the use of BSC can measure school performance from four perspectives, including financial perspective, customer perspective, internal business process perspective, and learning and growth perspective. From this perspective, schools can design a strategy map that illustrates the relationship between dimensions in each aspect. This can make it easier for schools to determine the target of schools in the future.

IV. CONCLUSION

A. Conclusions

Based on the results of data processing and discussion, there are several conclusions, including:(1) There are several strengths and weaknesses in the school's internal environment. The most influential school strength factors are cheap and competitive education costs, while the shortcomings of the school that most prominent are the image (image) of the school that is still unknown; (2) There are several opportunities and threat factors in the school's external environment. The most influential school opportunity factors are the presence of SMPs under the same foundation, while the most influential school threat is that schools still do not measure the satisfaction of parents and students; (3) The total school IFE matrix score is 2.5; this shows that the internal position in school organizations has average strength. So schools need improvements in school operations, strategies, policies, and procedures; (4) The total value of the EFE matrix is 2,878; this shows that the position of the school has above average ability to take advantage of opportunities and avoid threats in the school environment. Even so, schools should make changes to deal with the risk to the fact that there is no DU / DI collaboration and measurement of parent and student satisfaction has not been done; (5)Based on an analysis of internal and external factors using SWOT, several strategies can be obtained including Development of New Departments; Establish relationships with junior high schools in the same institution; Development of Learning Processes; Increased School Promotion; Maximizing BOS Funds;

Collaboration with DU / DI; School Resource Development; School Culture Development and School Program Improvement; Improved School Performance and Quality; (6)Alternative school strategy map recommendations consist of various dimensions that fit four perspectives, including: (a) Financial Perspectives: Routine Provision of Budgets, Income Levels, Utilization of BOS Funds and School Imagery; (b) Customer Perspective: Number of Students, Customer Satisfaction, and School Quality; (c) Internal Business Perspectives: Marketing Development, Innovation, Process, After Sales Service, and Enhancing DU / DI Relations; (d) Learning and Growth Perspectives: Teacher / Staff Satisfaction, School Financial Management Development, Information System Capability, Teacher / Staff Development, and School Culture Development.

B. Recommendations

From the conclusions obtained, there are several recommendations, including;(1) In general, the internal position of the school organization is still strong, and the school can respond to external factors. Therefore, schools can consider several alternative strategies for school development in the future; (2)This research is still limited due to time and conditions, so that further research development is needed through the perspectives of other stakeholders, such as teachers, parents, students, DU / DI parties, foundations to obtain a broader strategy formulation; (3)The need for evaluation of school performance to see the level of performance (Key Performance Indicator), then the school improvement and development strategies can be developed again. One tool that can be used to measure school performance is to use a Balanced Scored Card.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank the private vocational high school X especially the principal who has given permission and also helped the writer to research this school.

REFERENCES

- Human Development Reports, United Nations Development Programme, 2018. Available at: http://hdr.undp.org/en/indicators/103706 (Accessed: 5 June 2020).
- [2] Badan Pusat Statistik, Potret Pendidikan Indonesia Statistik Pendidikan Indonesia 2019, Jakarta: BPS, 2019.
- [3] N. A. Purwanto, "Strategi Bersaing Dalam Bisnis Pendidikan", Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan, 1(VII), pp. 9–16, April.2011.
- [4] P. Kotler and K. L. Keller, *Marketing Management*, 15th ed. Harlow: Pearson, 2016.
- [5] A. Gilmore, Services, Marketing, and Management. London: SAGE, 2003.
- [6] J. A. Fitzsimmons and M. J. Fitzsimmons, Service Management Operations, Strategy, Information Technology,7th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2011.
- [7] Fred. R. David and Forest. R. David, *Strategic Management Concepts and Cases*, 16th ed. Harlow: Pearson, 2017.
- [8] N. Bhushan and K. Rai, Strategic Decision Making Applying the Analytic Hierarchy Process. London: Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- [9] T. L. Saaty, How to Make a Decision: The Analytic Hierarchy Process, 1994, Interfaces, 24(6), pp. 19–43.
- [10] Berg, G. Van Den, and Pietersma, P, Key management models: The 75+ models every manager needs to know. 3rd ed. Harlow: Pearson Education Limited, 2015.
- [11] Davies, B. and Ellison, L, Futures, and strategic perspectives in school planning, 1998, International Journal of Educational Management,

July 25th 2020, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia

12(3), pp. 133-140.

- [12] Pramono, J, "Analisis Pengukuran Kinerja SMK Negeri 6 Surakarta Dengan Pendekatan Balanced Scoredcard", 2014, GEMA, 48, p. 1335.
- [13] Rahman, A. and Singgih, M. L, "Perencanaan Pengukuran Kinerja di Lembaga Pendidikan Walisongo-Gempol dengan Menggunakan Balanced Scoredcard dan Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)",2012, Prosiding Seminar Nasional Manajemen Teknologi XVI.
- [14] Tohidi, H., Jafari, A., and Afshar, A. A. (2010) Using balanced scorecard in educational organizations, 2010, Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2(2), pp. 5544–5548.
- [15] K. Ima Ismara et al, Good School Governance untuk Peningkatan Kinerja Organisasi Sekolah Vokasional, Jakarta: Direktorat Pembinaan Sekolah Menengah Kejuruan Kemendikbud RI, 2019. Available at: https://psmk.kemdikbud.go.id.