The 1st International Conference on Business and Management of Technology (IConBMT) August 3rd 2019, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia

Performance Improvement for Port Loading and Unloading Process with Process Mining

Amanda Dewi Paramita¹ and Iwan Vanany¹

Abstract—Port is one of the important things in trade and logistics. The major influence factor of port productivity is the cycle time for loading and unloading. The container activity in port logistics consists of several activities, such as discharging, loading, receiving, delivery, gate-in, and gate-out, among others. These activities using various equipment including container cranes, Rubber Tyred Gantry (RTG), trucks, and other related machinery. The availability of equipment is one of the factors the influence delays in container activities, which can negatively affect productivity. The productivity of the port will affect to Key Performance Indicator (KPI) of the port. The purpose of this study is to analyze the flow of containers that used process mining. Moreover, it provides a recommendation to increase the productivity at Terminal Nilam Multipurpose Port of Tanjung Perak. Process mining method includes many of other analysis, that is discovery, prediction and real-time, bottlenecks and deviation analysis. Disco program used to analyze container activity, besides this, the disco program also use to determine bottleneck mapping that effect to port productivity. The result show delivery and receiving are the cause of the bottleneck. Delivery cancel is known as an activity that inappropriate with the ideal process and indicates as an error in the flow of container activities. The improvement scenario carried out from the results of the analysis obtained is to minimize the occurrence of cancel loading delivery, to obtain a time reduction of ± 11.6 hours. This will affect the productivity of container activities.

Keywords—Cycle Time, Process Mining, Bottleneck, Loading, Receiving, and Delivery Cancel.

I. INTRODUCTION

The performance of a port is influenced by the cycle of loading and unloading activities, as is the case at the Terminal Nilam Multipurpose Port of Tanjung Perak, increasing from year to year in the cycle of loading and unloading activities, especially container loading and unloading. Container activities include discharging, loading, delivery, receiving, gate-in and gate-out. Whereas in carrying out container activities it is supported by tools and facilities including container cranes, Rubber Tyred Gantry (RTG), trucks, Reach Steaker (RS) and others. Based on observations in the field, several conditions that result in an increase in the loading and unloading cycle, from, among others, the existence of queues when the trailer will load the container from the ship or vice versa. cause delays from a predetermined schedule and cause unwanted activity and impact on productivity achievement. Currently, the Terminal Nilam uses a spiner application to record each container loading and unloading activity. The results of the recording can be used as data to measure the productivity of a port which is one of the indicators in achieving KPI. So it is necessary to analyze loading and unloading performance using process mining, that is by using secondary data from the event log. By choosing this method, it can be known transparently the difference between ideal business processes and real processes that occur in the field.

II. METHOD





Figure 1. Flow chart of methodology

B. Extracting

Selecting and structuring data Extracting data is obtained from the export of the spiner application data. Event log data consists of various types of attributes, including date and time of loading and unloading activities, ship code, container size (20 ft, 40 ft), container type (general purpose container, temperature, reefer container), goods activities

¹Amanda Dewi Paramita is with Department of Management Technology, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Indonesia. Email: amandadewiparamita@gmail.com.

²Iwan Vanany is with Department of Industrial Engineering, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Indonesia. Email: vanany@ie.its.ac.id.

The 1st International Conference on Business and Management of Technology (IConBMT) August 3rd 2019, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia

(stabilizing, delivery, stacking), type of loading and unloading (loading, discharge), equipment used (container crane, RTG and truck), ship code, number of production and production units.

The next step is to select the data on the extraction results to determine the required attributes. This is because the extraction data obtained is very broad and not all of these attributes are following the data requirements needed to analyze the process. The data needed is data that has an identity, activity and time of operational activities. Next is doing the rearrangement of event log data by equating the format and compiling data according to the mapping of each activity related to the flow of the container activity process.

C. Processing data with Process mining

Process analysis to be carried out in the form of analysis of discovery, bottleneck, process deviations, and prediction and real-time, with the explanation as follows:

- Benchmarking analysis to identify each data in the event log, as needed.
- Discovery analysis to identify business process models and on which processes occur deviations and obtain the calculation of the average time of each activity process.
- Analysis of bottlenecks which can later be used as a reference for improving performance by knowing the influential factors.
- Analysis of process deviations that obtained differences between the flow of container processes that occur in the field with the ideal process.
- Prediction and real-time analysis with the results obtained can be predicted against the possibility of errors in the process that will occur and can be monitored in real time the flow of the container process so that it can be dealt with quickly and precisely if there is an error in a process.

D. Scenario improvement with simulation

The model of the simulation will be used to determine the best improvement scenario. And an indicator that will be used to determine the best repair scenario is that there is no bottleneck so that the time of container activities is faster with higher loading and unloading productivity results.

After obtaining several model simulations and variations, an analysis of several variants was obtained. By identifying several of these variants, several analyzes were obtained relating to the flow of business processes including bottleneck analysis, discovery and process deviations.

E. Withdrawal conclusion

Drawing conclusions is done after doing all the analyses carried out and obtained the most optimal results. After obtaining the results of the analysis, recommendations can be given to PT Pelindo III for further improvements.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Withdrawal of data from the Spiner Application

The data used is in the form of event logs that are obtained from the export of data from spiner.

B. The results of the process of selecting data attributes and structuring data

After the event log is formed, then the data is selected and structured, this is because the extraction data obtained is very broad and not all of the attributes are following the data requirements for conducting process analysis. The data needed is data that has an identity, activity and time of operational activities. Next is to reorganize event log data by equating the format and compiling data according to the mapping of each activity related to the flow of the container activity process.

C. Event log Information

Initial conditions where 21,382 logs are input into this model

| TABLE 1. Event log ana | LYSIS | |
|---------------------------|------------|--|
| Event log analysis | | |
| Number of case | 341 | |
| Number of activity | 16 | |
| Number of events | 21.382 | |
| Start | 02.11.2018 | |
| | 2:45:00 | |
| End | 31.03.2019 | |
| | 16:33:00 | |
| Median case duration | 17,7 hours | |
| Mean case duration | 65,4 hours | |
| Number of variants | 279 | |

D. Statistical Information

The following are statistical information including:

- a. Case Duration: Shows information about the duration of the case contained in the model.
- b. Activity: Shows the frequency of each loading and unloading activity consisting of loading, discharge, receiving and delivery
- c. Resource: Indicates the statistics of the resource frequency used in each case. In this case, the intended resource is the loading and unloading equipment used, namely Container Crane.

E. Process Analysis based on mining processes

1) Analysis of the benchmarking process

in analyzing the benchmarking process classification is carried out on several parameters relating to the container loading and unloading activities, including resources, container size, container shipping destinations, shipping, and activity agent names.

IPTEK Journal of Proceedings Series No. (5) (2019), ISSN (2354-6026)

The 1st International Conference on Business and Management of Technology (IConBMT)

August 3rd 2019, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia

| EVENT LOG | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------|---|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| KD_CABANG | KD_TERMINAL | NO_APPROVAL | UKURAN PETIKEMAS | KODE ALAT | SATUAN PRODUKSI | JUMLAH PRODUKSI | NAMA_POL | NAMA_POD | AGENT_NAME | KEGIATAN_BRG | START_WORK | END_WORK |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000001 | 20 | NCC04 | BOX | 10 | MAKASSAR | MAKASSAR | PELAYARAN CARAKA TIRTA PERKAS | DELIVERY LOSSING-DCL | 11/2/2018 2:45 | 11/2/2018 16:55 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000001 | 40 | NCC04 | BOX | 10 | MAKASSAR | MAKASSAR | PELAYARAN CARAKA TIRTA PERKAS | DELIVERY LOSSING-DCL | 11/2/2018 2:45 | 11/2/2018 16:55 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000001 | 20 | NCC04 | BOX | 10 | MAKASSAR | MAKASSAR | PELAYARAN CARAKA TIRTA PERKAS | DELIVERY LOSSING-DCL | 11/2/2018 2:45 | 11/2/2018 16:55 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000001 | 40 | NCC04 | BOX | 8 | MAKASSAR | MAKASSAR | PELAYARAN CARAKA TIRTA PERKAS | DELIVERY LOSSING-DCL | 11/2/2018 2:45 | 11/2/2018 16:55 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000001 | 40 | NCC04 | BOX | 8 | MAKASSAR | MAKASSAR | PELAYARAN CARAKA TIRTA PERKAS | DELIVERY LOSSING-DCL | 11/2/2018 2:45 | 11/2/2018 16:55 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000001 | 20 | NCC04 | BOX | 122 | MAKASSAR | MAKASSAR | PELAYARAN CARAKA TIRTA PERKAS | DELIVERY LOSSING-DCL | 11/2/2018 2:45 | 11/2/2018 16:55 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000001 | 20 | NCC04 | BOX | 122 | MAKASSAR | MAKASSAR | PELAYARAN CARAKA TIRTA PERKAS | DELIVERY LOSSING-DCL | 11/2/2018 2:45 | 11/2/2018 16:55 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000001 | 40 | NCC04 | BOX | 4 | MAKASSAR | MAKASSAR | PELAYARAN CARAKA TIRTA PERKAS | DELIVERY LOSSING-DCL | 11/2/2018 2:45 | 11/2/2018 16:55 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000001 | 40 | NCC04 | BOX | 10 | MAKASSAR | MAKASSAR | PELAYARAN CARAKA TIRTA PERKAS | DELIVERY LOSSING-DCL | 11/2/2018 2:45 | 11/2/2018 16:55 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000001 | 40 | NCC04 | BOX | 4 | MAKASSAR | MAKASSAR | PELAYARAN CARAKA TIRTA PERKAS | DELIVERY LOSSING-DCL | 11/2/2018 2:45 | 11/2/2018 16:55 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000107 | 20 | NCC03 | BOX | 1 | TANJUNG PERAK | BALIKPAPA N | TANTO KARYA UTAMA, PT | DELIVERY LOSSING-DCL | 11/3/2018 2:45 | 11/3/2018 12:11 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000107 | 40 | NCC03 | BOX | 1 | TANJUNG PERAK | BALIKPAPA N | TANTO KARYA UTAMA, PT | DELIVERY LOSSING-DCL | 11/3/2018 2:45 | 11/3/2018 12:11 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000107 | 20 | NCC03 | BOX | 9 | TANJUNG PERAK | BALIKPAPA N | TANTO KARYA UTAMA, PT | DELIVERY LOSSING-DCL | 11/3/2018 2:45 | 11/3/2018 12:11 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000107 | 20 | NCC03 | BOX | 1 | TANJUNG PERAK | BALIKPAPA N | TANTO KARYA UTAMA, PT | DELIVERY LOSSING-DCL | 11/3/2018 2:45 | 11/3/2018 12:11 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000107 | 20 | NCC03 | BOX | 9 | TANJUNG PERAK | BALIKPAPA N | TANTO KARYA UTAMA, PT | DELIVERY LOSSING-DCL | 11/3/2018 2:45 | 11/3/2018 12:11 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000107 | 40 | NCC03 | BOX | 1 | TANJUNG PERAK | BALIKPAPA N | TANTO KARYA UTAMA, PT | DELIVERY LOSSING-DCL | 11/3/2018 2:45 | 11/3/2018 12:11 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000107 | 20 | NCC03 | BOX | 38 | TANJUNG PERAK | BALIKPAPA N | TANTO KARYA UTAMA, PT | DELIVERY LOSSING-DCL | 11/3/2018 2:45 | 11/3/2018 12:11 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000107 | 20 | NCC03 | BOX | 38 | TANJUNG PERAK | BALIKPAPA N | TANTO KARYA UTAMA, PT | DELIVERY LOSSING-DCL | 11/3/2018 2:45 | 11/3/2018 12:11 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000002 | 20 | NCC05 | BOX | 10 | SAMARIND A | SAMARINDA | SALAM PACIFIC INDONESIA LINES, PT | DISCHARGE-DCH | 11/3/2018 12:10 | 11/4/2018 6:13 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000002 | 40 | NCC05 | BOX | 9 | SAMARIND A | SAMARINDA | SALAM PACIFIC INDONESIA LINES, PT | DELIVERY-DLV | 11/3/2018 12:10 | 11/4/2018 6:13 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000002 | 40 | NCC05 | BOX | 5 | SAMARIND A | SAMARINDA | SALAM PACIFIC INDONESIA LINES, PT | DELIVERY LOSSING-DCL | 11/3/2018 12:10 | 11/4/2018 6:13 |
| 2 | 4 | SR020418000002 | 40 | NCC05 | BOX | 9 | SAMARIND A | SAMARINDA | SALAM PACIFIC INDONESIA LINES, PT | DISCHARGE-DCH | 11/3/2018 12:10 | 11/4/2018 6:13 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

TABLE 1.

| TABLE 2. |
|---|
| THE RESULTS OF THE BENCHMARK PROCESS ANALYSIS FOR "RESOURCES" |

| Resources Name | Procentage |
|----------------|---------------|
| CC04 | 4485 (20,98%) |
| CC05 | 4335 (20,27%) |
| CC03 | 3903 (18,25%) |
| CC01 | 3237 (15,14%) |
| RTG 01 | 1154 (5,4%) |
| RTG 04 | 1049 (4,91%) |
| RTG 05 | 917 (4,29%) |
| RTG 03 | 754 (3,53%) |
| RTG 02 | 740 (3,46%) |
| RTG 06 | 638 (2,98%) |
| RS 01 | 166 (0,78%) |
| SHIP 01 | 4 (0,02%) |

| MEAN DURATION FOR RESOURCES | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Resources Name | Mean duration | | | |
| CC 04" | 21 hour 36 minutes | | | |
| CC 05 | 22 hours 2 minutes | | | |
| CC 03 | 19 hours 46 minutes | | | |
| CC 01 | 22 hours 23 minutes | | | |
| RTG 01 | 20 hours 23 minutes | | | |
| RTG 04 | 21 hours 36 minutes | | | |
| RTG 05 | 21 hours 40 minutes | | | |
| RTG 03 | 22 hours 13 minutes | | | |
| RTG 02 | 19 hours 50 minutes | | | |
| RTG 06 | 21 hours 14 minutes | | | |
| RTG 06 | 17 hours 17 minutes | | | |
| RTG 06 | 21 hours 38 minutes | | | |

The 1st International Conference on Business and Management of Technology (IConBMT) August 3rd 2019, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia

From the results of the analysis of the benchmark process for these resources, it can be seen that the highest level of tool used is the use of CC 04 with a percentage value of 20.98%, with an average duration of use for one loading and unloading cycle of 21 hour 36 minutes.

TABLE 4. The results of The Benchmark Process Analysis for "Container Size"

| Type of containers | 2 type |
|------------------------|-----------------|
| Frequency "size 20 ft" | 13.175 (61,62%) |
| Frequency "size 40 ft" | 8.207 (38,38%) |

From the analysis of the benchmark process for the size of the container, it can be seen that the highest level of container usage is the use of containers measuring 20 ft with a percentage of 61.62%.

TABLE 5. THE RESULTS OF THE BENCHMARK PROCESS ANALYSIS FOR "DELIVERY DESTINATION"

| Delivery destination | Frequency |
|----------------------|---------------|
| to Samarinda | 6442 (30,13%) |
| to Makassar | 3254 (15,22%) |
| to Kupang | 2264 (10,59%) |
| to Tarakan | 1987 (9,29%) |
| to Balikpapan | 1502 (7,02%) |
| to Ambon | 1490 (6,97%) |
| to Pantoloan | 996 (4,66%) |
| to Palaran | 827 (3,87%) |
| to Nunukan | 714 (3,34%) |
| to Timika | 541 (2,53%) |
| to Banjarmasin | 521 (2,44%) |
| to Merauke | 364 (1,7%) |
| to Dobo | 151 (0,71%) |
| to Bau Bau | 97 (0,45%) |
| to Jakarta | 87 (0,41%) |
| to Kendari | 57 (0,27%) |
| to Tual | 50 (0,23%) |
| to Maumere | 20 (0,09%) |
| to Ampenan | 18 (0,08%) |

From the results of the analysis of the benchmark process for delivery, it can be seen that the most shipping destination is shipping to Samarinda with a percentage value of 30.13%.

From the results of the analysis of the process, it can be seen that the most loading and unloading activities are loading activities with a frequency of 7731 times with an average duration of 20 hours 52 minutes.

From the results of the analysis of the process, it can be seen that shipping agents that often carry out container loading and unloading activities are PT Meratus Line with Frequency of 9105 times with a percentage of 42.58%.

 TABLE 6.

 The results of the benchmark process analysisfor "activity"

| Activity | Procentage |
|---|---------------|
| Delivery | 7731 (36,16%) |
| Delivery cancel loading | 83 (2,98%) |
| Delivery lossing | 2582 (12,08%) |
| Delivery lossing transhipment direct between terminal | 2 (0,01%) |
| Delivery lossing transhipment transit CY | 130 (0,61%) |
| Discharge | 4320 (20,2%) |
| Loading | 7731 (36,16%) |
| Loading transhipment between terminal | 300 (1,4%) |
| Receiving | 4882 (22,83%) |
| Receiving lossing | 16(0,07%) |
| Receiving tambah | 14(0,07%) |
| Reefer" | 1(0%) |
| Relocation haulage | 120(0,56%) |
| Shifting with landing | 9(0,04%) |
| Shifting with landing CY | 3(0,01%) |
| Shifting without landing | 6(0,03%) |

TABLE 7.

| Activity | Mean duration |
|--|---------------------|
| Delivery | 23 hours 51 seconds |
| Delivery cancel loading | 1 hour 3 hours |
| Delivery lossing | 21 hours 51 minutes |
| Delivery lossing transhipment direct between terminal" | 22 hours 39 minutes |
| Delivery lossing transhipment transit CY | 1 hour 3 hours |
| Discharge | 21 hour 50 minutes |
| Loading | 20 hours 52 minutes |
| Loading transhipment antar terminal | 1 hour 2 hours |
| Receiving | 20 hours 29 minutes |
| Receiving lossing | 1 hour 13 minutes |
| Receiving tambah | 1 hour 11 hour |
| Reefer | 1 hour 1 hour |
| Relocation haulage | 22 hours 51 minutes |
| Shifting with landing | 1 hour 3 hours |
| Shifting with landing CY | 1 day 1 hour |
| Shifting without landing" | 23 hours 32 minutes |

TABLE 8.

THE RESULTS OF THE BENCHMARK PROCESS ANALYSISFOR "SHIPPING AGENT"

| Name of shipping agent | Procentage |
|--|---------------|
| PT Meratus Line | 9105 (42,58%) |
| PT SPIL | 7524 (35,19%) |
| PT Tanto Karya Utama | 2338 (10,93%) |
| PT Perusahaan Pelayaran Nusantara Panurjawan | 1448 (6,77%) |
| PT Pelayaran Caraka Tirta Perkasa" | 967 (4,52 %) |

The 1st International Conference on Business and Management of Technology (IConBMT)

August 3rd 2019, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia

2) Analysis of process discovery

In analyzing the discovery process, 3 (three) variants can be found with the highest ranking with the following details:

| TABLE 9. TOP 3 VARIANT | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----|----|--|--|--|
| Top 3 variant case Event | | | | | |
| Variant 1 | 15 | 30 | | | |
| Variant 2 | 12 | 12 | | | |
| Variant 3 | 8 | 8 | | | |
| Total | 35 | | | | |

From Table 9. it can be seen that there are 3 paths most often carried out in the process of loading and unloading activities. The 3 lines cover 10.26% of the 341 trajectories that occur in loading and unloading activities.

TABLE 10.

| No Case | Activity | Resource | Duration |
|---------|---------------|----------|---------------------|
| Case 1 | Delivery | RTG 01 | 17 hours 17 minutes |
| | Delivery | RTG 01 | 19 hours 17 minutes |
| Case 2 | Delivery | RTG 01 | 17 hours 17 minutes |
| | Delivery | RTG 01 | 17 hours 17 minutes |
| Case 3 | Delivery | RTG 01 | 17 hours 17 minutes |
| | Delivery | RTG 01 | 17 hours 17 minutes |
| Case 4 | Delivery | RTG 04 | 19 hours 17 minutes |
| | Delivery | RTG 04 | 19 hours 17 minutes |
| Case 5 | Delivery | RTG 01 | 19 hours 17 minutes |
| | Delivery | RTG 01 | 19 hours 17 minutes |
| Case 6 | Delivery | RTG 01 | 17 hours |
| | Delivery | RTG 01 | 17 hours |
| Case 7 | Delivery | RTG 04 | 1 hour 34 minutes |
| | Delivery | RTG 04 | 1 hour 34 minutes |
| Case 8 | Delivery | RTG 04 | 17 hours 19 minutes |
| | Delivery | RTG 04 | 17 hours 19 minutes |
| Case 9 | Delivery | RTG 01 | 17 hours 42 minutes |
| | Delivery | RTG 01 | 17 hours 42 minutes |
| Case 10 | Delivery | RTG 05 | 19 hours 58 minutes |
| | Delivery | RTG 05 | 19 hours 58 minutes |
| Case 11 | Delivery | RTG 05 | 1 hour 7 hours |
| | Delivery | RTG 05 | 1 hour 7 hours |
| Case 12 | Delivery | RTG 05 | 17 hours 25 minutes |
| | Delivery | RTG 01 | 17 hours 25 minutes |
| Case 13 | Delivery | RTG 01 | 22 hours 5 minutes |
| | Delivery | RTG 01 | 19 hours 26 minutes |
| Case 14 | Delivery | RTG 02 | 9 hours 50 minutes |
| | Delivery | RTG 02 | 1 hour 4 hours |
| Case 15 | Delivery | RTG 01 | 17 hours |
| | Delivery | RTG 01 | 17 hours |
| | Mean duration | | 19 hours 32 minutes |

| | | TABLE 11. VARIANT 2 | |
|---------|---------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| No Case | Activity | Resource | Duration |
| Case 1 | Delivery | RTG 04 | 17 hours 17 minutes |
| Case 2 | Delivery | RTG 01 | 20 hours 13 minutes |
| Case 3 | Delivery | RTG 01 | 1 hour 11 hour |
| Case 4 | Delivery | RTG 01 | 17 hours 19 minutes |
| Case 5 | Delivery | RTG 01 | 11 hour 44 minutes |
| Case 6 | Delivery | RTG 01 | 20 hours 3 minutes |
| Case 7 | Delivery | RTG 05 | 1 hour 7 hours |
| Case 8 | Delivery | RTG 05 | 13 hours 7 minutes |
| Case 9 | Delivery | RTG 05 | 17 hours 25 minutes |
| Case 10 | Delivery | RTG 01 | 8 hours 30 minutes |
| Case 11 | Delivery | RTG 01 | 1 hour 5 hours |
| Case 12 | Delivery | RTG 01 | 1 hour 21 hour |
| | Mean duration | | 22 hours 5 minutes |

| TABLE 12. |
|-----------|
| 17 |

| VARIANT 3 | | | | | |
|-----------|----------------------------|----------|--------------------------|--|--|
| No Case | Activity | Resource | Duration | | |
| Case 1 | Delivery cancel loading | RTG 01 | 2 hours 7 minutes | | |
| Case 2 | Delivery cancel loading | RTG 06 | 1 hour 7 minutes | | |
| Case 3 | Delivery cancel loading | RTG 04 | 7 hours 50 minutes | | |
| Case 4 | Delivery cancel loading | RTG 01 | 17 hours 45 minutes | | |
| Case 5 | Delivery cancel loading | RTG 06 | 17 hours 2 minutes | | |
| Case 6 | Delivery cancel loading | RTG 05 | 1 hour 29 minutes | | |
| Case 7 | Delivery cancel loading | RTG 05 | 2 hours 12 minutes | | |
| Case 8 | Delivery cancel loading | RTG 01 | 18 hours 33 minutes | | |
| | Mean duration | | 1 day 3 hours 30 minutes | | |

From the discovery process analysis, by taking the top 3 variants, there were 2 emerging activities, namely delivery and delivery canceling activities, with an average duration of variants 1 for 19 hours 32 minutes, variant 2 for 22 hours 5 minutes, variant 3 for 3 hours 30 minutes. Thus it can be concluded that the highest duration of time is in variant 3 with activities in it, namely, cancel loading delivery. This indicates that the emergence of cancel loading delivery activities is one of the factors that cause the duration of the container loading and unloading cycle. From the table it can be seen that there are 3 paths most often carried out in the process of loading and unloading activities. The 3 lines cover 10.26% of the 341 trajectories that occur in loading and unloading activities. *3) Analysis of process bottleneck*

Several factors that become bottleneck boosters can be identified by searching for process loops on the process *The 1st International Conference on Business and Management of Technology (IConBMT)* August 3rd 2019, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia

map. The loop process is expected to show the normal function of the process and can also show errors or process problems.



In Figure 4. it can be seen that activities in the red box are delivery, delivery cancel loading and receiving activities and it can be concluded that the three activities are bottlenecks in container activities.

| Activity | Mean duration | Min duration | Max duration |
|---|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Delivery | 23 jam | 4,9 jam | 7 hari 👘 |
| Delivery →delivery | 4,9 hari | 95 menit | 28,4 hari |
| Delivery \rightarrow Delivery cancel loading | 6,1 hari | 30,4 jam | 14,6 hari |
| Delivery cancel loading | 27,7 jam | 6,4 jam | 4,9 hari |
| Delivery cancel loading→delivery | 8,9 hari | 3,1 jam | 21,6 hari |
| Delivery cancel loading→ Delivery cancel loading | 3,5 hari | 20,7 jam | 7,2 hari |
| Delivery→receiving | 8,5 hari | 30,4 jam | 16,2 hari |
| Delivery cancel loading→receiving | 5,4 hari | 25,5 jam | 7,2 hari |
| Receiving | 20,5 jam | 4,8 jam | 7 hari |
| Receiving-receiving | 10,6 jam | 10,6 jam | 10,6 jam |

From the analysis of the bottleneck process, there were 3 activities which were the causes of a bottleneck, namely the activities of delivery, delivery cancel loading and receiving. From each of these activities which have the highest average duration is cancel loading delivery activities with an average duration of 27.7 hours.

4) Analysis of process deviations

In analyzing the deviations process, several processes can be found that do not match the actual process flow.



Figure 5. Analysis of Process Deviations

From Figure 5. it can be seen that there is a process that is not in accordance with the actual process, that is, after the delivery process occurs a cancel loading delivery process, which means that the delivery process is canceled due to some technical errors including job orders that do not meet the requirements it is not permitted to carry out the delivery process or it can be said that one of the processes on the job order request for the delivery process has passed.

5) Analysis of process prediction and real time

After analysis, it can be predicted that one of the errors in the container process flow is the emergence of cancel delivery activities because according to the actual container flow the process is greatly avoided because it will hinder other operational activities. If this activity occurs, there will be a buildup density in the stacking field, so that it will disrupt the smooth delivery of the next delivery activity.



Figure 6. Prediction and Real Time Process

 TABLE 14.

 RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF THE PREDICTION AND REAL TIME PROCESS

| Activity performance of de | livery cancel loading |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Total Duration | 45,1 hour |
| Mean duration | 25,8 hours |
| Max Duration | 60,7 hours |
| Min Duration | 7,8 hours |

- F. Scenario improvements with simulation
- 1) Scenario improvement results from the analysis of the bottleneck process.

It Will be repaired for the delivery and receiving process flow. The improvement plan for the delivery process is to combine the print job order and gate in delivery as shown in Figure. 6. Meanwhile, for the receiving activity, repairs will be carried out by merging the print job order and gate in receiving as shown in Figure. 7. This is done to save the container cycle time so that it becomes more effective and efficient.

IPTEK Journal of Proceedings Series No. (5) (2019), ISSN (2354-6026)

The 1st International Conference on Business and Management of Technology (IConBMT)

August 3rd 2019, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia



Figure 7. Delivery Activity - Existing (Left), the Result (Right)



Figure 8. Receiving activity - Existing (left), the result (right)

2) Scenario improvement results from the discovery process and prediction and real-time process one improvement technique is to add a menu in the display of the Order

Delivery Job, which displays the requirements for completing documents so that if one of the requirements cannot be met, the delivery request is automatically not approved.

If the cancel delivery process is omitted in the data, different simulations are obtained, with different variant and case results.



Figure 9. The Graph of the Analysis of Delivery Cancel Conditions is Omitted.



20.5 hrs

10.6 hrs

RECEIVING-RCV

Figure 10. Loop process of delivery cancel conditions are omitted

When an improvement simulation is carried out by eliminating the cancel loading delivery, the results of the analysis of the activation process are as follows.

| TABLE 16. RESULTS OF PROCESS ANALYSIS FOR ACTIVITY | | | | |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|---|--|
| | Activity | Procentage | | |
| | Loading | 7731 (36,3%) | | |
| | Receiving | 4882 (20,28%) | | |
| | Discharge | 4320 (10,93%) | | |
| | Delivery | 1183 (6,77%) | | |
| | r | Table 17. | | |
| _ | MEAN DUR. | ATION FOR ACTIVITY | = | |
| | Activity Mean duration | | | |
| | Loading | 20 hours 52 minutes | | |
| | Receiving | 20 hours 29 minutes | | |
| | Discharge | 21 hour 50 minutes | | |

ACTIVITY FREQUENCY AFTER DELETED DELIVERY CANCEL LOADING

23 hours 51 seconds

Delivery



Figure.11. Activity Frequency After Deleted Delivery Cancel Loading.

IPTEK Journal of Proceedings Series No. (5) (2019), ISSN (2354-6026)

The 1st International Conference on Business and Management of Technology (IConBMT) August 3rd 2019, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia

| RESULT | TA S OF PROCESS A | ABLE 18. Analysis for "Resol | |
|--------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| RESCEI | Resources | Procentage | JACED |
| | CC 04 | 4485(21,06%) | |
| | CC 05 | 4335 (20,35%) | |
| | CC03 | 3903(18,32%) | |
| | CC01 | 3237 (15,2%) | |
| • | TA | ABLE 19. | |
| | MEAN DURAT | ION FOR RESOURCES | _ |
| | Resources | Mean duration | |

| CC04 | 21 hour 36 minutes |
|------|---------------------|
| CC05 | 22 hours 32 minutes |
| CC03 | 19 hours 46 minutes |
| CC01 | 22 hours 5minutes |



From the tables and graphs, it can be seen that the tool that is often used is CC04 with an average duration of 21 hour 36 minutes with a frequency of use of 4485 times.

By reducing the frequency of occurrence of cancel loading delivery events and even by eliminating, because then the time needed for the loading and unloading cycle will be reduced, making it more effective and efficient. Next, a comparison before and after the cancel loading delivery activity will be displayed, so that the amount of time savings can be determined directly.

| TABLE 20. |
|---|
| COMPARISON BEFORE AND AFTER ELIMINATION OF THE CANCEL |
| LOADING DELIVERY PROCESS |

| Comparison | Before | After | Difference |
|----------------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Median case duration | 17,7 hours | 17,4 hours | 0,3 hours |
| Mean case duration | 65,4 hours | 53,8 hours | 11, 6 hours |
| Number of Variants | 279 | 255 | 24 |

From the table above, it can be seen that after the elimination process in cancel delivery activities is carried out, the average duration of time is shorter, so it is more efficient and can improve the performance of the flow of container activity processes. The difference in average time is 11.6 hours. This value is very influential on the flow of container loading and unloading processes, by saving time it can reduce the number of ship queues and facilitate container loading and unloading operations.

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, after eliminating the cancel delivery activities, the reduction in the duration of the average time from 65.4 hours to 53.8 hours is reduced. So, the time decreases by \pm 11.6 hours, which means it reduces the cycle time and increases more productivity of the loading-unloading container.

REFERENCES.

Use the "Insert Citation" button to add citations to this document.